

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0737/26

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/06/2026 1:59 PM from Ms Alison Dunn.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South East Corner Of Amberley Drive Marine Drive Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Commercial use of regenerated land, including use as a community green space for recreational and leisure use. Retention of land for biodiversity use. Bore hole for extraction of natural water. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers), 2 x 6m flag poles, provision of food and drink from temporary structures, mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance. Bin storage use. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Ms Alison Dunn
Email:	
Address:	Flat 1 Phoenix House Ferring

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Other
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise
- Trees and Landscaping

Comments: Goring Gap is an essential green lung in an overly developed area, and demarcates the village of Ferring both east and west. The application is a cynical attempt by the owner to convert land usage for future building development, one of many such attempts over many years. There are few things residents agree on, but this is surely one--the Goring Gap must remain undeveloped. The council is better placed to make a compulsory repurchase order to protect the area for community use, and put an end to the constant need for action to stop the owner from his ongoing applications. It's a waste of public resources.

Kind regards