

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/06/2026 4:56 PM from Mr Kevin Lee.

Application Summary

Address:	Land South East Corner Of Amberley Drive Marine Drive Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Commercial use of regenerated land, including use as a community green space for recreational and leisure use. Retention of land for biodiversity use. Bore hole for extraction of natural water. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers), 2 x 6m flag poles, provision of food and drink from temporary structures, mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance. Bin storage use. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Kevin Lee
Email:	
Address:	3 Chalet Close Ferring Worthing

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity

- Other
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise
- Trees and Landscaping

Comments: I wish to strongly object to the above application. Every single aspect of the proposal is out of keeping with the surrounding land, to the detriment and amenity of the land, excessive, unnecessary and highly inappropriate. It will be detrimental to wildlife and the environment.

If agreed and implemented, The proposals would create noise, mess, traffic risks to users as well as pedestrian risks to motorists, visual intrusion and, in addition, generate various forms of nuisance.

The proposed development is unnecessary, excessive, unsightly and potentially dangerous. It will be detrimental to wildlife (moreso than the current use) and the land should be returned to its previous state.

Itemised Response -

1. Community green space for recreational and leisure use is not needed as there is a large green area just across the road already.
 2. Retention of land for biodiversity use - the land was already biodiverse before the grass was cut and a large number of trailers and horse boxes parked on it. The biodiversity will be reduced even further with food trucks, seating, sandpits, children's play areas, etc.
 3. Use of land to produce natural green energy, for example solar - without the development, this is unnecessary. Solar panels on agricultural land is unsightly and will reduce visual amenity and be very unsightly.
 4. Provision of vehicle parking use (including overnight camping use for kite surfers and sea swimmers) - this will become overnight parking for everyone. At present, overnight camping is discouraged because it creates waste, encourages misuse and cannot be policed. The use will not be supervised overnight. It will encourage uncontrolled use.
 5. 2 x 6m flag poles - why? What is the need beside a farmers field on agricultural land?
 6. Provision of food and drink from temporary structures - absolutely unnecessary and intrusive visually and from a noise point of view. We should not be encouraging parents whose children would be using the play equipment and others to drink, eat and potentially increase waste - which will be carried off-site to where it is not supervised. 7
 7. mobile toilets, shower and baby changing facilities - totally unnecessary, smelly, high maintenance, visually intrusive/unsightly.
 8. Two recessed shipping containers for site storage and use - this goes beyond visual intrusion and becomes completely unsightly. Again, this is unnecessary.
 9. Tree shaded sandpit and tumble-down mound for young children and toddlers to use - noisy and uncontrolled (or controlled by parents who are being encouraged to drink alcohol).
 10. Animals to use for entertainment purposes, for example Shetland ponies - what does this mean? This is just an example, so the list would go beyond
-

just ponies. Who would clean-up and prevent the waste from being dumped on adjacent land?

11. A decorative flowerpot boat at site entrance - Presumably this will be small and unintrusive. Of itself, this might be attractive, but would need to be maintained.

12. Bin storage use - attracting vermin and other animals. Unsupervised bins are usually used incorrectly (and actually encourage people to dump their excess waste) with rubbish piling-up around them. If there is no development, bins are unnecessary

13. A wheeled advertising trailer, measuring approx. 5 metres by 2.5 metres located within the site boundary to promote Worthing, local events and tourism - Totally unnecessary there is one already and it is already unsightly and poorly maintained. This could be considered dangerous as the current one has little to prevent its use as a dangerous climbing frame. There are already ample means of advertising Worthing local events, so these should be used instead.

The existing road-use and parking are already excessive during summer months, as has recently been experienced. We should NOT be inviting overuse and additional use, encouraging people to drive distances to further clog-up our roads, putting more CO2 into the atmosphere and endangering pedestrians and children.

The site will almost certainly be neglected over Autumn to Spring.

This is simply an interim proposal to bring-in money and an ongoing/increasing use before further planning approval is sought for housing.

Kind regards