

From:

Date: Thu, 8 Jan 2026 at 18:14

Subject: OBJECTION AWDM/1596/25 5G Mast Durrington, Worthing

To: <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Hello,

How can the UK Government and UKHSA say the existing ICNIRP standards are safe for anyone and especially for children?

Currently anyone with any implantable medical device could enter an exclusion zone at any time and be in danger of malfunction of their device. SEE: THOMAS V CHELTENHAM COUNCIL

- <https://caselaw.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ewhc/admin/2024/1035>

NO EXCLUSION ZONES IDENTIFIED IN APPLICATION:

The UKHSA's advice for individuals with implanted medical devices is to avoid RF exclusion zones... as ICNIRP's 'guidelines' state that individuals with: "implantable medical devices" and "***metallic implants" in the body are "outside the scope of these guidelines" (as mentioned on page 2 of the [2020 ICNIRP Guidelines report](#)) which indicates that these vulnerable people are NOT protected by the 'Guidelines' and subject to potential harm from 5G telecoms installations within their local area.

Likewise, there is no testing protocol that includes children, who are far more vulnerable than adults to penetrating depths of radiofrequency-electromagnetic radiation into the brain.

DO YOU KNOW the risks to public health, especially to those individuals with implanted medical devices (AIMDs) and metallic implants, in schools and residential areas close to mobile masts? SEE: THOMAS V CHELTENHAM COUNCIL

- <https://caselaw.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ewhc/admin/2024/1035>

They may face additional risks due to the increased absorption of RF radiation and risk of malfunction of devices.

Exclusion zones and the beams of maximum intensity NEED TO BE publicised by each council /local authority /Diocesan Advisory Committees (for churches) to protect residents, children, visitors and the general public from undue harm within areas associated with mobile masts and radio frequency emitting antennae.

APPEARANCE AND SITING:

The proposed mast and associated cabinets by virtue of their siting and appearance, would be significantly dominant and prominent within the street scene and would introduce a large and incongruous feature within the existing green space that would have a detrimental impact upon the character and appearance of the surrounding area. The proposed development is contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework 2024...

ADDITIONALLY:

POLICY DM5 of the Worthing Local Plan requires all new development as follows:

DM5 - QUALITY OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT:

a) All new development... should:

- i) be of a high architectural and design quality and respect and enhance the character of the site and the prevailing character of the area.
- ii) enhance the local environment by way of its appearance and character, with particular attention being paid to the architectural form, height, materials, density, scale, orientation, landscaping, tree canopy, impact on street scene and layout of the development;
- iii) make a positive contribution to the sense of place, local character and distinctiveness of an area;

POLICY DM6 - PUBLIC REALM

5.73 The public realm is the space around, between and within buildings that is publicly accessible, including streets, squares, parks, open spaces and pedestrian and cycle routes. These spaces are dynamic elements that have a distinct and unique identity, whether they are spaces to move through or spaces to gather in. The quality and design of the public realm has a significant influence on quality of life because it affects people's sense of place, security and belonging, as well as having an influence on a range of health and social factors

THIS PROPOSAL WILL NOT ENHANCE THE CHARACTER AND CONTRIBUTE POSITIVELY TO THE PUBLIC REALM, LANDSCAPE AND TOWNSCAPE.



PLEASE REFUSE

Best Regards,

B. Lowe
Carnegie Rd, Worthing, BN14 7BF