Debbie Johnson

From:	Planning
Sent:	21 October 2013 20:48
To:	Planning
Subject:	Application Comments for AWDM/1224/13

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 8:48 PM on 21 Oct 2013 from Mr Neal Bradford.

Application Summary

Address: 20 The Driveway Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5GG

Proposal: Extension to form garage and extended entrance hall.

Case Officer: Matthew Porter

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Neal Bradford

Email: [redacted]

Address: St Giles House 15 The Drive Shoreham-by-Sea

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Highway Access and Parking
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: Further to previous comments I have read the Council's Devolopment Control Standard No.3 Extensions and Alterations to Dwellings. I believe that the proposed development breaches Section 1.11 part a) which states that the proposals would not result in an unacceptable degree of overlooking, loss of natural light or overbearing effect on neighbouring dwellings. The development would result in both a loss of natural light and would also have an overbearing effect on our property. Under Section 2.2 The Effect on Neighbouring Properties, both points ii) Daylight, and point iii) Outlook, would be breached by the proposed development. Finally, Garages Section 3.19 states that there should be a hardstanding of 6m in length in front of a garage so that cars waiting to enter will be obstruct the highway. From the plans it would appear that the proposed garage will only be around 3 metres from the boundary. I also note that from the application there is no intention to move the vehicle access. I would question the physical possibility of actually getting a car into this garage under the current proposals. The plan also differs from the application. The plan shows the

removal of the front wall to allow access however the application for planning permission says there will be no changes. If the front wall is removed then a new vehicle crossover will be required but again not included within the application.