



James David Whiteing
19 Rivermead
Pulborough
RH20 2DA
14th January 2026

planning@adur-worthing-.gov.uk
Subject OBJECTION AWD/1596/25

Dear Sir/Madam

I object and oppose this Proposal.

This proposal is clearly contrary to the NPPF 2024 Framework as this proposal will also be an eyesore, and therefore not acceptable for a mainly Residential area where there are homes schools and businesses.

Policy DM 5 of the The Worthing Local Plan requires all new developments as follows...

All new developments .. should

i) be of a high architectural and design quality and respect and enhance the character of the site and the prevailing character of the area.

ii) enhance the local environment by way of its appearance and character with particular attention being paid to the architectural form, height, materials, density, scale, orientation, landscaping, tree canopy, impact on the street scene and layout of the development.

iii) make a positive contribution to the sense of place, local character and distinctiveness of an area.

Policy DM 6

5.73 The public realm is the space around, between and within the buildings that is publicly accessible, including street, squares, parks, open spaces and pedestrian and cycle routes. These spaces are dynamic elements that have a distinct and unique identity, whether they are spaces to move through or spaces to gather in. The quality and design of the public realm has a significant influence on quality of life because it affects people's sense of place, security and belonging, as well as having on a range of health and social factors.

This proposal will not enhance the character and contribute positively to the Public Realm, landscape and Township.

This Proposal will degrade the site it is proposed to occupy and in no way will it integrate into the landscape.

So many people have had surgical metallic implants fitted inside their bodies for various purposes.

Since the introduction of Mobile Phone Technology in the mid 80's the number of people suffering with various forms of cancer has escalated. Is this a coincidence ?

Newspapers at that time were reporting "Cancer Clusters" where healthy individuals living near "The Masts," were developing various forms of Cancer, and that was in relation to 4G Technology.

There are now reports from America of a Serious investigation into the Technology of 5G and harm to people, also Trees are adversely affected when in the line of transmission.

The safety factor to life must be seriously considered as even with the use of mobile phones safeguards are suggested. Holding a device close to ones head or body is not recommended, Children are more vulnerable.

So it follows with the power used to transmit from the Masts the dangers could well be as significant.

EM Radiation Research publishes significant information on this subject, and this should be seriously considered.

We all need to be safe from all threats to our well being.

I trust that my objection to this proposal will be carefully studied on the issues that I have stated, and will therefore be up held to prevent this project going ahead.

Yours sincerely

James Whiteing