ADUR & WORTHING
20 FEB 2018
PLANNING SERVICES

20, St Richards Road.
Portslade,
BN41 1PA.

15.02.2018

Your Ref AWDM/0141/018

Dear Hannah Barker

I wish to register objection to the proposed extension to 22 St Richards Road, Portslade BN1 1PA (Ref above) on the following grounds:

The proposal includes an extension to the existing ground floor which will exceed the length of our existing boundary wall thus overshadowing the light to my conservatory and garden.

There is no measurement included for the height of the proposed extension but from the drawings and plans it would seem;

the new end wall will be higher than our existing boundary wall and that of the existing sloping, polycarbonate roof of the conservatory at no. 22;

it will be of solid construction which will considerably alter the light level in my conservatory and thus my house via the internal apertures:

it will render a large proportion of my patio in shade:

it will cast long shadows across my garden which currently I use for growing vegetables which require good sunlight.

The proposed extension appears not to reach the apex of our existing pitched, tiled single story, shared roof.

No 22 presumably will demolish their half of this currently tiled, sloping roof to erect the projected end wall of the extension. If this is the case, what was the internal single skin dividing wall will be left as the external single skin wall of my conservatory. This is not satisfactory for my house as it means a loss of insulation, weatherability and exposure to damp penetration in that area.

I also note that there is a proposal to resite the soil pipe from the existing first floor lavatory at no22 but there no indication of to where this will be relocated .

I observe from the site and block plans that it is my property no 20 and not no 22 which is identified for the works. I would expect these plans to be returned to the architect for correction immediately.

Perhaps at the same time they could be asked to detail the height of the finished extension from ground to roof top. Surely this should have been part of the full information to which all parties were entitled when comments and/or objections were requested.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

Miss Patricia Balchin [redacted]