**From:** planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
**Sent:** 03 December 2021 12:50
**To:** planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
**Subject:** Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1732/21

**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/12/2021 12:49 PM from Mrs Elizabeth Anton.

## Application Summary

**Address:** Seaside Primary School Freshbrook Road Lancing West Sussex BN15 8DL

**Proposal:** Construction of a new sports hall with dry changing rooms, sports storage, accessible WC, and plantroom, open air-source heat pump enclosure, and minor modifications to the existing footpath. AMENDED PLANS RECEIVED showing a revised roof design, relocation of proposed building further from western boundary and moving of entrance to east side

**Case Officer:** Peter Barnett

Click for further information

## Customer Details

**Name:** Mrs Elizabeth Anton

**Address:** 6 Seaside Close, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 8BZ

## Comments Details

**Commenter Type:** Neighbour

**Stance:** Customer objects to the Planning Application

**Reasons for comment:**
- Design
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

**Comments:** Reference AWDM/1732/21

As we can not be present at the planning meeting on Monday 6th of December This correspondence is to consolidate our strong objections against the amended plans of the proposed sports on the grounds of Seaside Primary school Lancing (New Horizon Academy

I realize coming before you are plans that have been amended. This In my opinion is to act as a token gesture which G&A are hoping to try and pacifier residents with . However I feel they are treating us as idiots.These

amendments are very small tweaks that make no difference to the impact the design is going to have on the residents in Seaside close and Seaside Avenue and show no genuine acknowledgement of concerns.

My main concern is the excessive height and appearance of the building in a residential area,where all the homes are bungalows and not two storey houses as the D&A statement compares the height of the sports hall too. Some nearby bungalows have dormers but they have not changed their original height . This means there is no doubt that the sports hall will dominate and overwhelm nearby homes.

Residents have understood that the school needs a sports hall because of its increase in children. Every suggestion has been disregarded. We suggested the sports hall be put on another site (prefered option) where it would still be very visible,but the impact would not be so intrusive to neighbours and it would be nearer to previous extensions. However the cost for this is too much, sorry the academy should have considered costing before having such a flamboyant design drawn up and access for teachers and children not so easy, that's easy to overcome with time management and good organization and not a concern for planning.

If the academy really wanted the site it has proposed it has been suggested they sink the building.Again this was ruled out because of the extra cost to make it comply with water table regulations.
Another suggestion was to give it a flat roof ,or maybe slightly domed meaning the building would be less dominioning to some of the the neighbours.Again it's the original design or nothing.

D&A state "Design intent is to provide a building that is 'light' in appearance to reduce visual impact. Render has been used in a number of locations in the existing school extensions and this finish is proposed for this project. Roof material will be light grey membrane. This is not in keeping with the bungalows that surround the proposed sports hall.The sports hall is not near any of the other rendered extensions of the school. Although the proposed sports hall does not back onto my property it will be evident from the front of my home as it is so large. I strongly believe I will lose the feeling of living in a residential area and impact on the general outlook you cannot hide it.This sports hall will be visible all day every day not just during school hours

I quote from D&A "the design has been to create a quality interesting design in form and appearance". Perhaps the academy should have had more public consultation prior to going straight to planning seeing how residents feel. I feel they are pressuring planning by talking about the children's needs,and almost threatening not to provide adequate resources, but If the academy is really wanting to give the primary children a sports hall and to to comply with government guidance and remain outstanding they would consider

alternatives.The sports hall can look pleasant/attractive, but more practical and in keeping with surrounding homes and the residential area. It does not need to be an overbearing building that offends

Thank you for taking the time to read this
Kind regards

Mrs E. Anton (number 6 Seaside Close)
Mr R Anton (number 6 Seaside Close)
Mr J.Jannece (number 6 Seaside Close)

Kind regards