From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 12 July 2022 15:22
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1104/22

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/07/2022 3:22 PM from Mrs Suzanne Ward.

Application Summary

Address:	29 Meadowview Road Sompting West Sussex BN15 0HU
Proposal:	Single storey rear extension with raised steps
Case Officer:	Hannah Barker

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Suzanne Ward
Address:	31 Meadowview Road, Sompting, West Sussex BN15 0HU

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	In answer to recent comments. There is absolutely no reason to call into question our extension, this was in situ when the proposer purchased the property, the room in question was not at the time a lounge it was the elderly owner's bedroom, and the extension was built before her death after long conversations and discussions with her and her son who lived at the property before the proposer purchased, again the room was a bedroom, the lounge had always been at the front of the building and it was the proposer's own decision to move locations around with the extension in situ. We did not do this work without discussion with our then neighbour, a courtesy we took as a matter of decency.

The length of the garden is obviously measured in a different way to ours, perhaps this needs inspecting, please consider that the proposer states this as a family home they currently have two dogs and one child, the green space left following the extensive renovations will be inadequate.

Further, the extension should be the same distance from the boundary line as ours is, this is a fair analogy due to this distance being required by Building Regulations. Under no circumstances will we permit any digging or footings or building works to take place that would encroach over the boundary line or within our garden. As previously mentioned there is a legal matter of the boundary dispute to be resolved before any building works should be permitted to take place.

The pitched roof is unnecessary. It will also shade our loft room which has a velux window in the roof making that room darker also (before this is brought into the situation, the unusual shaped room was built in the 1970's prior to our purchase in 1995), our home is going to suffer significantly from the shade of the extensive building works. A flat roof is more than satisfactory and would cause less intrusion on the area. Also the height of the stepped part of this proposal would be a lot higher than the garden area, (our garden being higher than number 29) thus the steps protrude taking more of the small amount of green space left at the property. It would also cause more direct sight into our property which is both unneighbourly and extremely unfair we are losing a lot of light air and privacy with the bungalow being built in such a small space as it is.

Please note we feel it would be an extremely unfair situation should the proposer be able to extend further than the 50% permitted under current regulations. Several neighbours including ourselves have had to keep within the regulations, one neighbour requested a small amount more for a larger bedroom but was refused as over the regulated amount.

Please ensure that the proposer deals with the matter of the Restrictive Covenants on the original Conveyance on the land and also is advised on the situation regarding trees and hedges.

Kind regards