AWDM/0634/20

1 message

Michael Charles <[redacted]> 15 July 2020 at 17:13
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Cc: [redacted]

For the attention of Ms. Marie O'Keefe.

-

It is important that the enormity – in every sense – of this application be exposed, lest appreciable harm be inflicted on that unique and particularly attractive area of Shoreham-by-Sea which is the northern littoral of the river between the Norfolk Bridge and the Adur Footbridge. The development here proposed would be seriously detrimental to the area and should be rejected on, among others, the following grounds:

. It would impose yet further residential density in a locality already notoriously deficient in the requisite supporting infrastructure, facilities and amenities;

. Not only does it include no parking spaces whatsoever for any vehicles, electric or otherwise, possessed by any at all of the residents of its proposed seven new dwellings, but it actually eliminates those such spaces on which it is to be built, which themselves were the sole recourse of the occupants of the eight new flats recently created in the upper two floors of the former Santander Bank building;

. Similarly, although the proposal does duly include bin storage for the flats within this development itself, it totally eliminates what is currently the bin storage space for certain adjacent buildings, including not only that for the eight new flats in the Santander Bank building but also that for the prospective substantial new ground floor shop or offices to be created in that building;

. As has recently been established in relation to a planning application in respect of the site immediately adjacent to the west (the Tosca restaurant), the riverside walkway would afford no access to this development either for service or, even more importantly, emergency vehicles;

. The proposed fencing in of that part of the river walkway in front of the development would not only prevent the direct passage of pedestrians between that walkway and the High Street but would constitute a violation of the right of way expressly designated as such in the plans for the construction of the Town Quay Section of the river wall;

. The principal proposed new building would be both closely adjacent to and towering over an electricity sub-station; are both the Electricity Authorities and the Fire and Rescue Services fully satisfied that this would not constitute an undue and unacceptable hazard?

. Over and above the foregoing, which are matters of public concern, is the drastic blight that would be inflicted by this opportunistic, misplaced and obtrusive development on the residents of Town Quay Apartments, whose privacy, light, outlook and peaceful enjoyment of their respective properties would be impaired immeasurably.

In the strongest terms, therefore, I submit that this application be rejected.

Michael Charles

M.W.S. Charles (Mr.)

Flat 1, Town Quay Apartments

Town Quay

Shoreham-by-Sea

BN43 5DS