Client Name: Chichester College Group
Site: Worthing Campus
Ref No: 220308 1363 TS V1

Tree Schedule

Consultant: D. Hickton / E. Preston
Survey Date: February 2022

Age Class

Young	Establishing, good vigour, fast growth rates and strong apical dominance; <1/3rd estimated life expectancy.
Semi-Mature	Established specimen approaching 1/3 life expectancy.
Early-Mature	1/3 – 2/3 life expectancy, vigorous growth rate and increasing in height.
Mature	Over 2/3 life expectancy. Generally good vigour and achieving full height potential with crown still spreading.
Over-Mature	Declining or moribund trees of low vigour.
Veteran	Exhibiting features of biological, cultural, or aesthetic value characteristic of species surviving beyond the typical age range.

Physiological Condition

Good	Generally in good health typical of the species.
Fair	Reasonable health with few risk features.
Poor	Trees that exhibit significant risk features which are irremediable or moribund tree.
Dead	Tree has died.

Structural Condition

Good	Few minor risk features of little overall significance.
Fair	A significant risk feature or several small risk features.
Poor	Major risk feature present or many small risk features.
Irremediable	Tree has uprooted or the whole tree, or part of the tree has collapsed.

VALID Methodology

An Active assessment has taken place across the Site. The aim of an Active assessment is to identify tree features that may be associated with risks that are not Acceptable or Tolerable. There are three levels to the depth of investigation: Basic, Detailed and Advanced.

Detailed Assessment

A detailed assessment is carried out on trees that have been picked up during a basic assessment as needing a closer look because they have an obvious risk feature, or because a tree has been highlighted by a passive assessment.

Target Areas

AWDM152923 Worthing College TPO

A target is anything of value, which could be harmed in the event of tree failure. The main targets for the tree risk features are listed for each tree / tree group.

Groups

Groups of trees have been recorded and individuals picked out if detailed assessments were required. The remaining trees in the groups have not had a detailed assessment and their risk is acceptable.

Risk Reduction Works and Tree Management

Where trees pose a risk that is Not Acceptable or Not Tolerable, works have been attributed to these trees to reduce the risk to an Acceptable level. Outside of risk, there are instances where trees pose an acceptable risk or did not require a detailed assessment, however have been prescribed works to: benefit the tree, facilitate subsequent inspections, or for sound arboricultural management. These include, but are not limited, ivy severance, epicormic removal and cutting back from nearby structures. Where applicable, these have been specified in the comments and recommendations columns associated with each tree.

General Notes

Each tree was individually assessed and comments, where appropriate, were recorded for the condition of each tree's roots, main stem, and crown. The physiological condition has been recorded to provide an indication of the tree's general health and vitality. General comments have also been made where appropriate, with recommendations for risk reduction works or tree management given, where applicable.

Each individual tree has been given an identification number. Metal tags have been used for this survey for individual trees, where access to trees was possible. The tree numbers associated with each tree are cross referenced within the schedule and shown on TreePlotter.



Scale 1:1000 @ A1

Risk ratings in accordance with VALID

Trees

- Basic Assessment - Acceptable
- Detailed Assessment - Acceptable
- Detailed Assessment - Not Tolerable
- Detailed Assessment - Not Acceptable

Groups

- Basic Assessment - Acceptable

Additional Attributes

Redline Boundary

Tree locations are based on aerial imagery and measurements when onsite. No topographical survey has been provided. As such tree locations must not be taken as exact.

© Crown Copyright and Database Rights 2021 OS 100049047. You are permitted to use this data solely to enable you to respond to, or interact with, the organisation that provided you with this data. You are not permitted to copy, sell-in or distribute or sell any of this data to third parties in any form.

Drawing Status
S2 - Information / Reference

Date	Drawn	Checked
March 2022	DH	EP

Client: Chichester College Group

Project: Worthing College Campus

Title: Tree Location Plan

Drawing File reference	DWG No
20305 1169 TLP V1	4 of 5

WHARTON Natural Infrastructure Consultants

VALID

MINERVA MILL | STATION ROAD | ALCESTER | B49 5ET

E. info@wnic.co.uk

T. +44 (0)1789 459 158

www.wnic.co.uk

Tree Schedule

Tree No.	Species (Common Name)	Species (Scientific Name)	Age Class	Phys Con	Struc Con	Target Area	Additional notes	Detailed / VALID Assesmment	Risk Rating
G13	Sycamore, Silver maple, Silver birch, Blue Atlas cedar, Leyland cypress, Common beech, Common ash, Scots pine, Hybrid black poplar, Wild cherry, Pedunculate oak, English elm	*Acer pseudoplatanus, Acer saccharinum, Betula pendula, Cedrus atlantica glauca, X Cupressocyparis leylandii, Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior, Pinus sylvestris, Populus nigra, Prunus avium, Quercus robur, Ulmus minor var. vulgaris*	Yng	Good	Good		Mixture of self seeded saplings and newly planted trees. Understorey to larger trees which have been captured individually. Over grown with dense ivy and brambles in places.	No	Acceptable
G14	Holm oak	*Quercus ilex*	Mat	Mixed	Fair	Sports fieldSports field	Group of closely planted 15no. mature evergreen oaks. All have cavities as a result of previous branch failure and past pruning management. Dense vegetation at base restricting complete inspection of internal trees. Majority of deadwood located in upper, central canopy. Group forms a cohesive, arboricultural feature.	No	Acceptable
G15	Leyland cypress	*X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	S/Mat	Good	Fair	Public open spacePublic open space	Located near eastern boundary of the site, framing bin storage area. 7no. trees historically managed at c.4m in height, likely as a hedgerow. Form a collective feature	No	Acceptable