

Application Summary

Address: 57 Hollingbury Gardens Worthing West Sussex BN14 0EB

Proposal: Ground floor front infill extension; raising of roof ridge with gable ends and rooflights to create first floor accommodation within roof space

Case Officer: Rebekah Hincke

Customer Details

Name : Ms M Lanfear

Email:

Address: 54 Hollingbury Gardens Worthing West Sussex

Comments Details

Comment er Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment: - Design
- Overdevelopment

Comments : I am disappointed by the proposed alterations to No 57. It feels no regard has been given to neighbouring properties as not one of the properties in the vicinity fronts to the roadside like this proposal. It feels very much an unsympathetic remodel. The infill to create a 'family room' seems an unnecessary addition but just to create the roof space to obtain better profit. When No54 infilled to the front no 'extra' roof was required as the infilled area was already under the existing roof.

The raising of the ridge appears to be minor in relation to the highest current point of the ridge,

bearing in mind that ridge is currently small in size, but in actuality will be major to the lower level ridges that will all be increased to the new height. It seems an excessive route to obtain maximum roof space to obtain maximum profit. The side elevations will appear on the street scene as a major roof in comparison to the neighbouring properties. Will this swamp the two neighbouring properties?

The new upstairs front window being so close to the road also means our side of house will lose privacy (we are the only property with no garage). Our side door and side garden from the utility is constantly in use. This new upper window will also see into my bedroom (I sleep on the ground floor) and the living room of Number 52. This would have less of an effect if it was in a dormer further back in the slope of a roof and a dormer wouldn't be objectionable as it would feel further away and nestle nicely with the surrounding properties.

Not one of the chalets nearby has a frontage like the proposed, but all have sloping away main roofs from the main road with a dormer and cut back hips/half hips. To alter this property to the proposed development just doesn't feel like it would 'fit in' with the direct neighbouring properties, one of which is linked via the garage, or indeed the bungalows nearby.

I hate objecting, I truly do, especially when my own property was remodelled but the bulk of our remodelling was to the rear which is not impactful to the road scene. I think No 57 could be altered more sympathetically and not affect the street scene as much as it will.
