From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 27 May 2023 05:16
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Subject: Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0580/23

Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/05/2023 5:15 AM from Mr Marcus Blackett.

Application Summary

Address:	Land North East Of Southern House Yeoman Road Worthing West Sussex
Proposal:	Application for Prior Approval for installation of Proposed 5G telecoms installation: H3G 15m street pole and additional equipment cabinets.
Case Officer:	Gary Peck

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Marcus Blackett
Address:	180 Bisley Road, Stroud GL5 1HS

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Added to Speaker List
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design
Comments:	The proposed antenna will be obtrusive, ugly & incongruous with the surrounding character, appearance, visual amenity of the area. The equipment would result in an imposing & overbearing impact on the amenity of the nearby children's playground and Secondary School - Children and staff, within 500m of the proposed site, will be subjected to high levels of radiation beaming from this mast throughout their study day. The impact of this proposal on the health (including mental health) & well-being of these children/staff must be taken into account, & is a material planning consideration. Health should be a material planning consideration - Successful legal challenge - A 2021 legal

challenge against Brighton Council for permitting a base station was successful with a Judicial Review hearing granted at the High Court of Justice.

The polluting effects have to be investigated by COMPETENT persons. That competence falls under the environmental health/director public health remit and is not within the competence of the District Council alone.

Transmitter density required for 5G means that more people will be exposed to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs), and at levels that emerging evidence suggests, are potentially harmful to health.

Four key areas of scientific uncertainty and concern:

* The lack of clarity about precisely what technology is included in 5G; and a growing but far from comprehensive body of laboratory research indicating the biologically disruptive potential of RF-EMFs

* An almost total lack (as yet) of high quality epidemiological studies of the impact on human health from 5G EMF exposure

* Mounting epidemiological evidence of such effects from previous generations of RF-EMF exposure at lower levels

* Persistent allegations that some national telecomms regulatory authorities haven't based their RF-EMF safety policies on the latest science, amid potential conflicts of interest

5G uses much higher frequency (3 to 300GHz)

Kind regards