

Name: Ms Fiona Murray

Email:

Address: 98 Stanley Road Carshalton

Comments Details

Commenter
Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for
comment: - Loss of General Amenity

Comments: I have been made aware of this application by a friend that lives locally who is very concerned about the detrimental effect on this area of a large industrial structure of this type. As anybody can comment on an application I will do so.

Although the proposed location is on the edge of Tesco's car park, it is right next to Durrington Community Centre. Only 25 meters away in fact. Anybody that uses the community centre would be confronted with this monstrosity every time they were on the premises. A lot of people are unnerved by this type of equipment ; as such it would spoil their enjoyment of this local hub.

This would be used by two mobile network operators so it has a large number of cabinets. Several of them would be approximately six feet high. These are unsightly and known to attract graffiti. They give areas a rundown industrial look. They are also known to be very noisy. The noise would be especially bothersome to residents in the summer when they have their windows open. The closest houses are only 30 metres away.

The area to the East of the proposed location and surrounding Tesco's car park is residential . It is clear from the photo montage that this monopole would dominate the skyline from all viewpoints.

This is stated to be a replacement / upgrade for another mast . This is a new mast and should be treated as such. Other areas have been discounted as inappropriate but that does not mean this site would be appropriate. It is clearly too close to houses and the community centre and would blight the residents lives should it be granted permission.

The applicants are keen to state there is no harm to health from this type of equipment, thousands of non industry backed studies show otherwise. The case officer Gary Peck should consider the evidence from both sides for a balanced view. He should also consider the failings of an ICNIRP declaration to protect large

groups of the population, some of which would be living and working in the area and visiting the community centre. These points have been raised for consideration in other objections so I won't repeat them here.

This equipment is not appropriate in this area and this application should be refused.

Kind regards