Hannah Barker

From: Debbie Kennard
Sent: 12 October 2010 23:06
To: Hannah Barker
Cc: anthony.wall@btinternet.com
Subject: 340 Upper Shoreham Road
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Hannah
further to our conversation this morning I am formally requesting that the application for 340 Upper Shoreham Road be brought before the next Planning committee.I would also request that some pictures are attached to the planning agenda against this item to show the effect the proposed extension would have to Mr & Mrs Wall.
Thank you
Cllr Debbie Kennard

Hannah Barker

From: Anthony Wall [redacted]
Sent: 10 October 2010 17:13
To: Hannah Barker
Subject: 340 Upper Shoreham Road
Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Dear Hannah,

At the risk of becoming a total bore there is one other important point I forgot to mention in my email to you yesterday. It is that 340 is an unconventional build for a corner house in as much that it is at right angles to the main road with the front door in this case, facing 'The Driveway'.

This was done because the original builder bought the land on which to build 3 houses for himself and his 2 daughters. 340, 342 and 344. To accommodate all three, 340 was built sideways on.

The garage is at the rear of the house which now seems to be considered to be part of the actual living accommodation. It was sympathetically incorporate into the main building with a slopping roof to avoid any visual dominance of our property.

However, the main house - minus the garage - already extends approximately 2m north of 342. So with the planned addition it will account for some 6m of two story building beyond the north face of our property.

If one checks the original plans it is clear that the garage at 340 met with your 45 degree rule of thumb. A rule that now seems to have been ignored. The garage and its roof are of course mainly to the ground floor so as they stand, have very little visual impact.

We believe these points should be taken into consideration in your final report to Mr Morgan.

Yours Sincerely,

Mr & Mrs Anthony Wall

Hannah Barker

From:	Donal Hutchinson [redacted]
Sent:	01 November 2010 12:49
To:	Hannah Barker
Cc:	Monica Hardman
Subject:	ADC/0307/10 -340 Upper Shoreham Road, Shoreham-by-Sea
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Red

Hannah,

I've now had an opportunity to study the photographs produced by Mr Wall that have superimposed by manipulation a representation of the proposed extension. I would wish to comment briefly on each of these using the titles given on each attachment.

342 picture with new build distance
There is no way of checking the accuracy of the line drawn as no measured survey has been prepared or dimension given in relation to 340.

Indication of proposed development
The marker post relates to the original proposal and not the current scheme.

Objection document
In comparing the photomontage and the drawn elevation it is clear that extension as shown on the photograph is much larger.

I understand that you intend to presents these photographs at the meeting and whilst you rightly say the accuracy is unknown, I would suggest that as these are a misrepresentation of what is being proposed they should be discarded on that basis. As these were, I believe, sent after completion of your report I do not consider that it is appropriate that you should be presenting these photographs to the committee. If Mr Wall wants to include them when he speaks in objection to the application then that is a matter for him and we would address this accordingly.

Regards

Donal

Donal C T Hutchinson
Hutchinson Design Associates
41/43, Portland Road
Hove
BN3 5DQ
Tel: 01273 724810