

From: **Simon Shepherd** Date: Mon, 13 Jul 2026 at 16:31
Subject: Ref. AWDM/0737/26. Chris Dixon's Project. Location: Amberley
Drive/Marine Drive Junction
To: <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

This message was sent from outside Adur & Worthing Councils

Good afternoon,

I tried to submit the following comments on your website without any success, however, I do understand there have been problems with this site so please find my letter below.

I understand that Mr Dixon had a previous planning application rejected in 2024 for a very similar project he proposed on the very same site in question. The Planning Committee determined then that Mr Dixon's project was unsuitable for this conservation area due to the potential adverse effects on the local neighborhood and its residents.

This new proposal will bring with it additional problems and undesirable effects to both residents and the environment.

Those of significant importance in my view are as follows:-

- * Compromised personal safety due to threat from increased road traffic, increased pedestrian presence and parking obstruction.
- * Significant noise disturbance during the day and also at night from overnight camping.
- * Smells created from cooking, mobile toilets, food and drink provision, waste bins and animals. (Where will the animals be kept overnight?)
- * The appearance and character of the Greensward would be dramatically changed by this site housing temporary structures, metal shipping containers, animals, advertising trailers, flag poles, waste bins, temporary shower facilities, mobile toilets and the large number of cars utilising the free car parking facilities.

I can only assume that the potable water for hygiene purposes would be sourced from the proposed drilling of the bore hole.

The drilling of the bore hole would bring significant engineering and ongoing technical problems due to its proximity to the beach causing unreliable equipment over time (pumps exposed to salt water together with potential sand ingress). These technical difficulties would result in a potentially contaminated potable water supply which, by its very nature, could go undetected until health issues manifest in users of the supply.

In summing up, I believe Mr Dixon's proposed project is totally unsuitable for this conservation area.

I can't help think that his tenacity in pursuing this project is both indicative of his desire to ultimately engineer a "mission creep" for future add on proposals despite the fact it is evident that both the Planning process and Goring's community emphatically disapproved of his previous proposal.

Please confirm receipt of this letter.

Many thanks.

Kindest regards.

Simon Shepherd
BN12 4XD