Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0201/15

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 26 April 2015 at 15:55
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 3:55 PM on 26 Apr 2015 from Ms TINA OSIECKI.

Application Summary

Address: Land East Of 126 Grinstead Lane Lancing West Sussex

Proposal: Construction of new 2-storey multi-purpose community centre incorporating an external climbing wall max 14m high, and associated landscaping and bicycle storage

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Ms TINA OSIECKI

Email: [redacted]

Address: Lane End, Meadow Lane, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 9BN

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise
- Trees and Landscaping

Comments: I am objecting to this development for reasons being: Design: The development of such a huge building including a 14M wall is on a far too large scale in a built up neighbourhood. The erecting of a 14M climbing wall is bizarre to say the least. Who is going man this wall late at night when youngsters climb it, which they will. We live right by the proposed site and see young people climbing and sometimes running on the railway bridge after a night out. The erecting of a wall this high could lead to very serious injury or even death! It would be a serious safety hazard. Highway Access and Parking: The development including parking will create much congestion and the fact that so many cars will be turning in and out of Grinstead Lane is also a safety issue. Overdevelopment: The proposed development

is far too large for the area it is to be situated in. Privacy Light and Noise: The impact of this development will be immense on the neighbourhood. The privacy of the neighbourhood close by will be much reduced due to the size and planned use. There is already noise and anti-social behaviour from people sometimes congregating in the field late at night.(Sometimes they choose to throw eggs at our conservatory late at night!) The noise will increase greatly with the planned use and hours of use proposed. Trees and Landscaping: The removal of the trees will cut out privacy and increase the traffic noise from Grinstead Lane. The landscaping proposed will cut out light. The reasons I have written are both revelevant and valid. T Osiecki