Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1192/17

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 31 August 2017 at 19:24
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 7:24 PM on 31 Aug 2017 from Mr John Cager.

Application Summary

Address:	42 Slonk Hill Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 6HY
Proposal:	Non-Material Amendment to AWDM/1164/16 for retention of existing side wall to garage and erection of single-storey side extension to west elevation.
Case Officer:	Rebekah Smith

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr John Cager
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	44 Slonk Hill Road, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 6HY

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design
Comments:	We wish to object to retrospective planning permission being applied for, this is a blatant flouting of original planning permission granted. We complained to our neighbour about the points listed in this retrospective application, coming closer to boundary line and the monstrosity of the breeze blocks. He then told his builder just to carry on regardless of our concerns. On 6thJuly we received a note from Mr Crosby's daughter in law saying she wanted to speak to us. We responded on 7th July saying as she was not the householder we wished to discuss with Mr Crosby, the homeowner. He has never done this. We phoned planning department on 27/06/ and 29/06 and discussed our concerns verbally. We then emailed Rebekah Smith on 5th July at 20.40 stating that we were very unhappy with the failure to comply to existing planning permission, and we wished to make a formal complaint. On 6th July Rebekah Smith confirmed acknowledgement of the email: 'Thank you for your email. I have already spoken with your parents when they made a complaint about this already and since, when I have been able to update them. I will contact them and you again when I have some news, but please rest assured that this is being investigated.' Rebekah promised that she would speak to us (Mr & Mrs

Cager) to discuss further with us, this has never happened. We now receive through a notification that our neighbour has applied for non material amendment (it is a material amendment), he stated on the form that he sought advice from Rebekah Smith on 03/07/17. Which was apparently 2 days before our complaint. She has not acknowledged this, we are very concerned that despite her assurances she never gets back to us, and yet has time to speak to Mr Crosby instead and I do not see how as per email she said she will investigate, how can she investigate when she was the one that Mr Crosby is stating gave him the advice. This does not seem to be impartial. We are extremely elderly and need our daughter to help us with this complaint. We would like to know how we make a formal complaint regarding how our original complaint of 5th July has been dealt with.

Please note we strongly object to the granting of this application 1192/17 as per points outlined in our original email, this is a complete eyesore, material appearance is not as what was agreed in original planning which was red brick. It clearly stated under approval is subject to condition: 'Matching materials - A.3 of approval states 'the materials would be of similar appearance to those used in the construction of the exterior of the existing dwellinghouse'. Please also note section A1 please check that no points listed on approval have been flouted by Mr Crosby. We are very distraught in having to see this eyesore from our bedroom window and garden.