

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/03/2026 11:27 AM from Mr Anthony Beal.

### Application Summary

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| Address:      | Land West Of 51 To 63 Southview Road Southwick West Sussex           |
| Proposal:     | 2 new dwellings with parking and associated access and amenity areas |
| Case Officer: | Peter Barnett  |

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| Name:    | Mr Anthony Beal                         |
| Email:   |   |
| Address: | 28 Underdown Road Southwick West Sussex |

### Comments Details

|                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Commenter Type:      | Neighbour  |
| Stance:              | Customer objects to the Planning Application   |
| Reasons for comment: | - Other<br>- Overdevelopment   |
| Comments:            | This appears to be a 'cut-down' version of the developers previous plans, which were rejected by the Planning Inspectorate. My reasons for objecting are unchanged from those used against the previous application (AWDM/0373/23). I am given to believe that the developer has stated that his proposed development will not be liable to suffer from flooding due to being built up on a plinth, however, due to the fact that the building design of 'all' other local buildings likely to be involved have virtually NO footings (my house ranges from about 4cm to 8/9cm) this means that all these properties are very susceptible to ANY increase in surface water. The truth about the area of land under discussion is. I suspect, that it was left unused by the ORIGINAL developers for precisely the problem caused by the water runoff from the 'downs'. |