# Subject: Re: AWDM/1123/23 - 2 Windermere Crescent

**Diana L** [redacted]
to Amanda Haslett

Wed, 6 Sept, 13:02 (6

You are viewing an attached message. Adur & Worthing Councils Mail can't verify the authenticity of attacl messages.

Hello again Amanda.

Giving further thought to this planning application of the frontward-facing dormer onto Windermere Crescent, c becomes aware of how narrow the traffic road of Windermere is.
It's unlike Palatine Road for example which has width for 2 lanes + parking availability on both sides.
Windermere pavements and houses are much closer together opposite each other.
This is probably because going back to post war 1946 maps of this area, Windermere was merely a little dirt tr walking with my home #1 and the house opposite #2 being the first two houses built on this corner of Palatin 1946.

Thus it was unable to be widened after 1946 because these two homes held the status quo of space between neighbours. Since that time, no first floor frontages have been added to houses in the street. And it is obvious is so. The homes opposite one another are fairly close to each other in comparison with Palatine Road for exa where an opposite neighbour is not incurring into the privacy of others.

The right to privacy is paramount in my opinion. More so, when it involves bedrooms and bathrooms upstairs. certainly don't wish to be looking into someone's bedroom nor bathroom that fairly obviously involves matters personal space and hygiene.

What is wrong with upright ceiling facing light entering a bedroom such as sun tunnels or velux windows as ar often used in buildings in Scotland these days ?

Kind regards

*Diana Langley*

[redacted]

[redacted]/1