

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0445/26

Reps and Consults



planning@adur-worth

Mon 18 May, 18:49 (2 days ago)

to me

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 18/05/2026 6:49 PM from Mrs Gillian Coles.

Application Summary

Address: 16 Elm Road Worthing West Sussex BN11 1PG

Proposal: Change of use from dwellinghouse (Class C3) to large house in multiple occupation (Sui Generis); demolition of side store and construction of single storey side extension; conversion of roofspace including front rooflights

Case Officer: Rebekah Hincke

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Gillian Coles

Email:

Address: 11 Elm Road Worthing West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Other
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: I object due to the following reasons
Loss of family house, reduces the availability of houses for families
Parking is already congested in this area, additional parking permits or visitor permits will make the situation worse. Bank Holidays, Sundays and after 6pm is virtually impossible to park now without extra vehicles in the area.
Increased comings and goings would increase the noise in the area, HMO's are known for anti social behaviour compared to a family home.

The plans show Skylights in the room in the loft, this is not in keeping within a conservation area with a direction under article 4 (2)

Extra waste bins, recycling bins and food waste bins due later this year will be needed for a property with this many rooms, where will these be situated. These cannot be placed on pavements as residents in the area have received letters to advise bins should not be left on pavements, this narrows space for people with wheelchairs, prams, mobility scooters to get past. Overflow of waste will cause a problem due to the number of seagulls and foxes in the area.

I note in the documents a comment from Southern water regarding a possibility of a public sewer crossing the development site. If this is found how will this be managed to prevent noise and disturbance to residents.

I note the kitchen area and communal space does not look an adequate size for the number of possible people that could be living at the property up to 14 people.
