

Interested party 6

Comments

To Whomever it may concern,
We do NOT support this appeal for this proposed development for the reasons set out in our previous notes from the initial planning application.

In addition we would like to add that during the initial planning application we had a visitation from the local planning department so she could physically view the site from our perspective and how potentially intrusive the proposed dwelling would have on our property at No. 92 Marine Crescent. The local planning representative concurred that the proposed dwelling in the way it was presented would have a direct affect on our property and would compromise our privacy. The dwelling has been designed with the windows directly overlooking our property without any due thought or compromise, especially as the outlook of the original house at No. 94 Marine Crescent, who's owner is seeking permission, has not been compromised by windows overlooking the original property on that land. The closeness of the building would also create a disturbance to the living environment set out in the original area planning. It is not in keeping with the adjacent roads, affecting site lines as well as plot sizes. Overall the proposed dwelling is not appropriate for this strip of land.