Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/0805/15

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 29 June 2015 at 10:03
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10:02 AM on 29 Jun 2015 from Mrs Pauline Watson.

Application Summary

Address: 93 Old Shoreham Road Southwick Brighton West Sussex BN42 4RD

Proposal: Two-storey extension to side (east) elevation.

Case Officer: Hannah Barker

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Pauline Watson

Email: [redacted]

Address: 1 Lower Drive Southwick Brighton

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: Planning application AWDM/0805/15 Having seen the plans for the proposed side extension to 93 Old Shoreham Road, I have several objections to this development as outlined below: • In the last couple of months since the new owner purchased the property the loft room has already been changed from roof windows to a large dormer taking up all of the rear roof, this resulting in no privacy to my back garden which is in an elevated position due to the fact that my property is on higher ground. The proposal would result in a further unacceptable degree of overlooking and have an overbearing effect on my property. • The proposal would result in further direct overlooking of my garden and conservatory which has been in place in some form since before we bought the property in 1966 and make me feel that I cannot relax in my own home. • The proposal means that natural sunlight (particularly in winter months) would be lost from the rear garden until evening time, whereas

currently the rear garden has the advantage of direct sunlight from about 11am. As a keen gardener this will cause adverse effect on my quality of life. • The proposal indicates that the development would extend the property to 1 metre of my boundary and 6 metres of my building; additionally I believe that the placement of principle windows is intrusive. • The proposal indicates that rear roof lights would be included in the elevated roof of the extension suggesting that there could be a future development into the roof vortex at a later date which would not require planning consent. • The addition ground area taken by the proposed extension removes some of the available off-road parking from the front of the property – as the proposal increases the number of bedrooms to the dwelling there is a potential risk to an increased number of vehicles being parked, especially as the property is currently not owner occupied and could be let to more adults, rather than a family. Parking along the service road is already excessive and, as this property is situated on a junction could result in dangerous parking. I have photographs from the rear of my property showing the existing outlook to indicate how this proposed extension will impact on my property and my privacy. There Planning application AWDM/0805/15 Having seen the plans for the proposed side extension to 93 Old Shoreham Road, I have several objections to this development as outlined below: • In the last couple of months since the new owner purchased the property the loft room has already been changed from roof windows to a large dormer taking up all of the rear roof, this resulting in no privacy to my back garden which is in an elevated position due to the fact that my property is on higher ground. The proposal would result in a further unacceptable degree of overlooking and have an overbearing effect on my property. • The proposal would result in further direct overlooking of my garden and conservatory which has been in place in some form since before we bought the property in 1966 and make me feel that I cannot relax in my own home. • The proposal means that natural sunlight (particularly in winter months) would be lost from the rear garden until evening time, whereas currently the rear garden has the advantage of direct sunlight from about 11am. As a keen gardener this will cause adverse effect on my quality of life. • The proposal indicates that the development would extend the property to 1 metre of my boundary and 6 metres of my building; additionally I believe that the placement of principle windows is intrusive. • The proposal indicates that rear roof lights would be included in the elevated roof of the extension suggesting that there could be a future development into the roof vortex at a later date which would not require planning consent. • The addition ground area taken by the proposed extension removes some of the available off-road parking from the front of the property – as the proposal increases the number of

bedrooms to the dwelling there is a potential risk to an increased number of vehicles being parked, especially as the property is currently not owner occupied and could be let to more adults, rather than a family. Parking along the service road is already excessive and, as this property is situated on a junction could result in dangerous parking. I have photographs from the rear of my property showing the existing outlook to indicate how this proposed extension will impact on my property and my privacy. These can be supplied to support my objection.