99 Ingleside Crescent
Lancing
West Sussex
BN15 8ER

[redacted]

Development Management
Adur & Worthing Councils
Worthing Town Hall
Chapel Road
Worthing
West Sussex
BN11 1HA

12 August 2023

Dear Sir/Madam

Ref: **AWDM/0609/23**
Conversion of existing outbuilding for use as self-contained annex for dependant relative

13A Kings Road LANCING West Sussex BN15 8EB

I am joint freeholder and occupier (at no.99) along with my neighbour Mrs. Margaret Adeline Turner (at no.97) of the property which directly borders the rear (i.e. western boundary) of the above application site. My response to this application is also on behalf of Mrs Turner, [redacted]

I have now viewed the proposals on the Planning portal and would comment with our objections as follows:

I understand that the main building to which the application states the proposed accommodation is going to be ancillary, comprises two separately occupied, purpose-built, self-contained, two-bedroom flats, one on the ground floor and another on the first floor. The proposed workshop conversion is numbered on the application drawings as 13B. Consequently, it is unclear as to which of the two existing properties this proposed dwelling is intended to be ancillary to. It is noted that whilst the applicant is the owner, they are not the occupier of any part of the premises.

My principal concern is that the external face of the rear wall of the intended dwelling and indeed the existing workshop, sits almost directly on the shared boundary of our adjoining curtilages (around 30cm or so, from our garden fence, the eastern boundary of our freehold site).
The precise location of this common boundary is to my mind an important aspect of this application and it is unclear as to why the applicant chose to exclude it from both the Existing and the Proposed Plans & Elevations drawings.

The inclusion of a window in this elevation is an unacceptable loss of our privacy particularly as it directly overlooks our rear garden and the windows of our two rear ground floor bedrooms and similarly our two rear first floor bedrooms.

Furthermore, if, as is recommended by the Environmental Health Consultee's comments, this is going to have to form the means of escape from the proposed bedroom, the escape route will be directly onto our property. This is completely unacceptable and an alternative route of egress entirely within the applicant's ownership will need to be found.

I hope the above will be of assistance.

Yours sincerely

[redacted]

Patricia Waite