Gmail

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

[redacted] APPLICATION AWDM/1321/23 PROPOSED PLANS & ELEVATIONS 5 DECEMBER 2023

1 message

Jane Langley [redacted]
To: Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

29 December 2023 at 01:41

13 Wallace Avenue
Worthing
BN11 5RA

28 December 2023

To Whom it May Concern

RE: [redacted] APPLICATION AWDM/1321/23 PROPOSED PLANS & ELEVATIONS 5 DECEMBER 2023

This objection to the AWDM/1321/23 Proposed Plans & Elevations submitted on 5 December 2023 is with regards to its material inaccuracy in an attempt to mislead in the planning application. [redacted]

The series of scale architectural drawings are purposefully inaccurate to misrepresent the extension as being compliant with permitted development dimensions in order to be considered as valid for planning permission. The drawings do not include the adjoining property No.13 nor the correct dimensions of how the applicant has built the actual extension and in relation to this neighbouring property. This misrepresentation is designed to prevent the planning application and amended design to be considered in context and, therefore, the risk it may not be approved should the true position be known.

The building cannot be considered as materially completed in its current condition as it is not fit for purpose due to water ingress which requires replacement tiles. Neither can it be considered as being compliant with its permitted development conditions and, therefore, lawful in its certification presented as part of the planning application.

The plans should show the relationship to the neighbouring property No.13 as they are not simply illustrative; they are a material part of the planning decision. The permitted development conditions should have been capable of implementation and there is no reason, [redacted], that they were not. As a result, the whole permitted development cannot be capable of being considered as implemented and likewise the scale architectural drawings submitted can only be evidenced as invalid.

The actual extension was constructed with impunity with regards to non-compliance of materials and dimensions. However, the requirement to have built a compliant extension has been

recognised in the scale architectural drawings showing the correct dimensions as opposed to reality. For the planning application this impunity should not be, nor allowed to, prevail.

It is important and trusted that the planning decision will be made for justifiable reasons in the context of legislation, national and local policy, proper procedure and codes of conduct. The process should not be weighted towards seemingly expedient decisions, [redacted] This planning application and its amended design should be considered by calling it in to the planning committee due to passing the threshold of contentious.

[redacted]

[redacted] The planning application cannot be considered with regards to false information as the true impact could not be determined. The planning application does not provide the context of its current extension construction and a truthful representation of the proposed addition of a parapet.

The current extension [redacted] in the first instance should be removed, both No.13 and No.15 restored to their original condition and all damage remedied.