Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

FAO Rebekah Smith - AWDM/1759/15

1 message

Emma Lucy [redacted] 7 January 2016 at 10:52
To: "planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: [redacted]

Good morning Rebekah,

In regards to the plans that are proposed under the above reference for 3/3A Summersdeane, we have absolutely no problem with the stairs or hand rails leading up to Ms, Hope and I's properties. Although, I would prefer to keep the front gate as it adds an element of security to both of our properties.

I note that the plans for Ms. Hope's front door mean that the entirety of the pathway is taken up by the stairs and hand rails. Due to this, I would have to make an objection to this part of the plan, as we would have to walk up to Ms. Hope's property. I appreciate that Ms. Hope has difficulties with mobility but we feel that the stairs leading up to Ms. Hope's front door ruins the aesthetics of the building and would encroach onto the path leading to our garden.

Of course we would not rule out another proposal for Ms. Hope's front door, we just feel that the current plans could take more of our views to consideration.

Just to clarify, we feel that the stairs leading up to our properties would benefit from there still being a gate there and feel that the plans for the steps leading to Ms. Hope's front door take up the whole of the path which would force us to either walk onto the grass (which we have plans to make into a flower bed) or walk up the steps to Ms. Hope's property. We feel that there must be another way to assist Ms. Hope in entering her property that requires less room.

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best wishes,

Joe Higgins & Emma Masters