

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/08/2026 5:24 PM from Mrs Judith Kelly.

Application Summary

Address: The Drive Mill Lane Worthing West Sussex BN13 3DF

Proposal: Demolition of The Drive and erection of 2no. 3-bedroom detached dwellings with associated landscaping.

Case Officer: Jackie Fox

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Judith Kelly

Email:

Address:

Comments Details

Commenter
Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for
comment:

- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Loss of General Amenity
- Other
- Overdevelopment
- Privacy Light and Noise
- Trees and Landscaping

Comments: The original AWC commitment to a holistic approach to change on this small land-locked backland site has been lost sight of in recent years. I believe the recent applications should be called in for consideration by council taxpayers' elected representatives on the Planning Committee to reestablish that holistic approach.

Before that point I believe the LPA is within its rights to decline to determine new applications until such time as the extant planning breaches and enforcement action have been addressed by the builder. The Windows breaches on plot 3 have exhausted all appeals but the recognised harm from that deviation from approved plans remains unremedied with no show of good faith in rectifying the breach.

The factual misstatements and mislabelled diagrams within the open applications should be rectified to enable the external bodies such as WSCC, Highways, Water etc to comment accurately; this should happen prior to the applications being considered. The inaccuracies are shocking or deliberately misleading. Perhaps the implementation of Condition 14 under AWDM/0530/25 "details of public and neighbour engagement both prior to and during construction works", might go some way towards finding clarity for local residents, therefore determination should be delayed until public consultation is implemented by the builder. I believe there is evidence that the permission granted last year has been started. The attempts to alter the red site outline for the building site is noted however there is no S73 application that requests or justifies the modification of the site outline.

I believe a s73 cannot edit the original application title which includes the fiction "demolition of The Drive". The derelict house is still occupied and there seems to be no momentum towards vacating or demolishing The Drive (it's a house not the name of the estate road). This, together with other reasoning leads me to believe there is no commitment to implement the 2 proposed houses under AWDM/0645/26 so I will delay comment on their design until the applications are considered by the Planning Committee. The likely motivation behind these two applications became apparent when the s73 application was uploaded.

Kind regards