ADDENDUM TO ADCAG MINUTES 24.3.20

AWDM/0365/20 – 1, Valentine Close, Steyning Rd., Shoreham.

On the one hand the members can sympathise with the applicant's need for the fencing to be erected particularly in an area which is heavily trafficked resulting in excessive pollution, plus the site not allowing much privacy. However, we are aware that the 6' close boarded fence will have an adverse affect on the heritage church of St. Nicholas located nearby.

Members are in the main, supportive of such a fence but would ask that applicant selects a close boarded fence where the its effect a softened with a form of trellis type pattern on the highest 12/14 inches on each panel. This, it is felt would be acceptable & members would then recommend **APPROVE**

AWDM/0389/20 – Tudor Cottage, 457, Upper Shoreham Road, Shoreham

Members recommend **APPROVE**

AWDM/0418 – 7, Church Lane, Southwick - APPROVE

AWDM/0485/20 – 1, Queens Place, Shoreham - APPROVE

AWDM/ 0394/20 – 5, Ship Street, Shoreham - REFUSE

This property forms part of a larger building of some 60/70's design which seriously conflicts with the neighbouring properties in this conservation area. Particularly the flat roof whereas all the neighbouring properties have pitched roofs. The proposal contained in this application will have an adverse affect on the neighbouring properties by serious overlooking & lack of privacy, even in the neighbouring gardens.

To add Juliette balconies to the front of the building does little to enhance the over all aspect of the main building as the remaining frontages are plain & unadorned. It was unanimously agreed by all members that this application should be **REFUSED**

AWDM/0497/20 – 61, West Street, Shoreham

It is clear from the brief information given, that this tree would not be so intrusive if it had been pruned & pollarded regularly throughout its life. The owner admits that to date no structural damage has occurred to the house thus far. It is unlikely to do so. after all this time. The members unanimously agreed that the applicant should seek the advice of an arbiologist & then carry out the recommended works. It is of their opinion that this application be **REFUSED.**