WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION
RECEIVED
18 APR 2011
On Comp.
Addm.
In Report (D/C)
Post Addm.

Tracey Consterdine

From: planning@worthing.gov.uk
Sent: 17 April 2011 19:19
To: Planning
Subject: PublicAccess for Planning - Application Comments (11/0201/FULL)

PublicAccess for Planning - Application Comments (11/0201/FULL)

"Mr Newnham and Ms Hanmore" has used the PublicAccess for Planning website to submit their comments on a Planning Application. You have received this message because you are the Case Officer for this application or because this is a designated mailbox for PublicAccess comments submissions.

Comments were submitted at 17/04/2011 19:19:19 from [redacted]

Application Summary

Application Number:
11/0201/FULL

Address:
12 Shermanbury Road
Worthing
West Sussex
BN14 7HR

Proposal:
Proposed single storey side and rear extension.

Case Officer:
Mr M Porter

Customer Details

Name:
Mr Newnham and Ms Hanmore

Address:
14 Shermanbury Road

Postcode:
BN14 7HR

Email:

Phone Number:
[redacted]

Comments

Submission Type:
Customer objects to the Planning Application.

Comments:
Having seen the proposals made by the applicants at the above address, we wish to contest the plans for the following reasons;

. We feel that the design, appearance and character of this plan are not in keeping with the style of the houses in this road.
. The proposed extension is out of character with any of the other similar properties in the road and would be the largest of its kind.
. It is our understanding that other similar extension plans to the side of houses in Shermanbury Road have been refused by the planning department.
. Number 30 Shermanbury Road has a similar but smaller side extension.
. Having consulted with the residents at number 28, who join with number 30, they

expressed being unhappy with the extension as it had caused a significant loss of light. They stated that they wish they had contested the neighbour's plans.

. The proposed extension will cause a significant amount of light to be lost from our kitchen.

. We understand the plans propose to use the adjoining wall between our property and number 12. It is our understanding from our deeds that the wall belongs to our property. We would not give our permission for this wall to be altered in any way.

. We would not give permission for any aspect, such as guttering to overlap this boundary.

. Maintenance to the outside of the proposed extension would mean access to our property becomes necessary, leading to a loss of privacy for us.

. There would be a significant amount of noise and disturbance to us during the building stages if the proposed extension is granted.

PublicAccess for Planning. (c) CAPS Solutions Ltd.