ADUR & WORTHING
31 DEC 2013
PLANNING SERVICES

Apartment A
South View Lodge
102-104 South Street
Lancing
West Sussex
BN15 8AQ

Planning Department
Adur District Council
Adur Civic Centre
Ham Road
SHOREHAM BY SEA
West Sussex
BN43 6PR

For the attention of Joanne Sanderson

23 December 2013

Dear Sirs,

Planning Reference AWDM/1462/13- Application Comments
Proposed development 1 bed flat at South View Lodge, 102-104 South Street, Lancing

Further to your letter dated 13 December 2013 I would like to comment and object to the above planning application as follows:-

The existing development of 6 flats at 102-104 South Street is already high density for the site area in comparison with the surrounding properties, which has been maximized by incorporating a second floor flat within the roof void. Only the two ground floor flats are provided with any outside space other than the three parking spaces and a smaller space for bicycles (not four as stated) which are already allocated and have proved to be inadequate for the site.

This part of South Street is predominately of mixed development of two storey houses, flats and shops and not four storey as the proposed.

The proposal not only extends the existing roof space but adds an additional floor, a fourth storey within the proposed roof. This upsets the balance and symmetry of the existing building in appearance and is totally out of character with surrounding street scene.

The onsite refuse provision is already inadequate usually leading to overflowing bins most weeks, this would only be exacerbated if a further flat was added and probably result in vermin infestation.

Likewise there are existing problems with the foul drains and soil pipes blocking, which has been experienced by all the flats and will only be made worse with an additional flat.

With regards to the proposed entrance to the flat this is via the existing staircase which already serves two flats and not one as stated in the application documents.

In view of the above I strongly oppose this application on the grounds of:-

- Overdevelopment/density of the building
- Appearance/visual impact
- Detrimental impact upon residential amenities
- Parking

Finally whilst it is not likely to be taken into consideration, I would like to express my concern regarding both the noise and dust disruption that will be incurred by the residents during the construction period if approved. As an 88 year old widow the worry of this is causing me deep anxiety and having a detrimental effect on my health.

Hopefully this objection will therefore be considered favourably.

Yours faithfully,

Mrs. I.I. Leach

[redacted]

Cc to Ward Councilors
Ann Bridges, Fred Lewis and Mary Hamblin