

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/08/2025 7:26 AM from Sheila Westwood.

### Application Summary

Address:	Marine View 111 Marine Parade Worthing West Sussex BN11 3QG
Proposal:	CHANGE OF USE FROM GUEST HOUSE (CLASS C1) TO LARGE HOUSE IN MULTIPLE OCCUPATION (SUI GENERIS)
Case Officer:	Jo Morin

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name:	Sheila Westwood
Email:	
Address:	5 Edinburgh Cottages Worthing West Sussex

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Loss of General Amenity</li><li>- Other</li><li>- Overdevelopment</li><li>- Privacy Light and Noise</li></ul>
Comments:	<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed planning application relating to the property at 111 Marine Parade (Planning application ref: AWDM/0954/25).</p> <p>As a long-term resident of Edinburgh Cottages for the past 28 years, I have witnessed first-hand the character and nature of this quiet, predominantly elderly residential area. My primary concern regarding this application is the increased risk of anti-social behaviour that such a development may bring, particularly if the property is intended for use as a house in multiple occupation (HMO) or similar.</p> <p>The area behind and to the side of 111 Marine Parade has unfortunately already been the site of crime-related activity in the past, as local police records would verify. There has also been a persistent issue with drug and alcohol-related behaviour in the nearby alleyway, leading to late-night disturbances, noise, and rowdiness - all of which have a negative impact on residents' quality of life. There is previous experience of what such developments can bring to the area. The only other large family home nearby that was converted into a multi-occupancy property became a serious problem for local residents. That situation</p>

took a significant amount of time and effort to resolve, and I am concerned about history repeating itself.

This area simply is not suitable for the kind of intensified use that the proposed application suggests. It would change the character of the neighbourhood, compromise the safety and wellbeing of existing residents - many of whom are elderly - and undo the sense of peace and stability that residents have worked hard to maintain.

I strongly urge the council to consider these concerns and reject the application.

---