Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1920/17

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 17 January 2018 at 20:18
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 8:18 PM on 17 Jan 2018 from Mr John Lamb.

Application Summary

Address: 55 Barfield Park Lancing West Sussex BN15 9DF

Proposal: Single-storey side and rear extensions (existing detached garage to be demolished). (Amendment to previously approved AWDM/1258/17)

Case Officer: Hannah Barker

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Lamb

Email: [redacted]

Address: 54 Barfield Park, Lancing, West Sussex BN15 9DF

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Highway Access and Parking
- Other
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: We are in general support of this application as such, but do have some concerns questions and comments arising from the plans presented and now amended.

Development Management - Delegated Officer Report
It is noted thst the Report AWDN/1258/17 (site visit 23/10/17 ?) incorrectly cites impact on No 57 Barfield Park when in fact this should identify No54 Barfield Park (my property) as being affected. No 57 is across the road to the south east - substantially unaffected by this development.
Whilst perhaps not affecting the councils decision it is nonetheless a disappointing error.

Right of light
Am I wrong in that the planning approval did not authorise windows in the east (north east) side of the building but there do appear to be high level windows in the latest plan where there were previously in this property there are none, These windows will now overlook our property.

Parking
Although the application says that there is no impact on car parking the reduction of kerb parking will affect neighbouring dwellings forcing more cars to

their frontages.

Drainage concerns
Please may I enquire how the plumbing for the bathroom in the south east corner of the property is arranged? With the drainage for this dwelling on the west side I assume, I am concerned that any drainage may be directed to my property. Can this be clarified

What exactly is the development?
The description of this application is that this is a single storey development. Can I confirm that single storey side and rear extension means just the ground floor and no upper / first floors are being added.

PLan DRG No567 (SKL) 1 & DRG No 567 (PL) 2b (dated 30/10/17) show a first floor extension (although there are no top down plans to support this). This did not appear in the original plans in September (which were subject of neighbour consultation) but added later of course - October 2017. That said this first floor development appears to have been again in plan DRG 567 (PL2) 2b dated 20/12/17. Was this just a mistake? Are the later plans (20/12/17) the correct ones? This is a concern especially as the plan for the proposed development in the latest plan DRG No. 567 (PL2) 1a still show stairs in the main entrance room - stairs to where? Clarification would be appreciated.

Impact of the work.
I appreciate that with any development there is to be some disruption and nuisance especially being so close. Can we be assured that works will only be commenced at a reasonable time perhaps no earlier that 8am and not continue beyond say 5pm Monday to Friday. I mention this particular as today there was activity of a very loud and bright nature at 6:50am this morning from the site in question lasting for about half an hour - no warning was given. If this were to occur regularly this would not be very neighbourly friendly.