Sharon Leishman

From: Planning
Sent: 07 November 2013 09:15
To: Planning
Subject: Application Comments for AWDM/1325/13

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 9:15 AM on 07 Nov 2013 from Mr Jeffrey Allen.

Application Summary

Address: 213 Old Shoreham Road Southwick Brighton West Sussex BN42 4LS

Proposal: Vehicular access (Re-submission of AWDM/0790/13)

Case Officer: Matthew Porter

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Jeffrey Allen

Email: [redacted]

Address: 248 Old Shoreham Road Southwick Brighton

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment: - Other

Comments: I object to the vehicular access to 213 Old Shoreham Road Southwick for the following reasons. 1, The proposed Vehicular access will about three meters from the Pelican crossing. It is far to close and should not be granted. 2, Vehicles leaving the property will have to be mounted on the pavement before the driver will see pedestrians and young children on the pavement area. Vehicle access to properties via pavement areas this close to a Pelican crossing are in breech of highway and pedestrian safety. 3, Due to the height of the adjoining garden party walls and road side walls and vegetation of both of the adjoining properties the view restriction when leaving drivers would be seriously impaired when entering the A270. 4, Drivers leaving No. 213 will have no control over the pelican lights changing to the GREEN MAN giving the right for young children crossing (In many cases RUNNING) from south side of the crossing onto a vehicle reversing and turning onto the crossing. On the north side of the crossing young school children run to and from Mile Oak Crescent to the Pelican crossing, in the path of a reversing vehicles. On the south side of the crossing Drivers leaving No. 213 will have no control over young children running across the path of a reversing vehicle. It must be considered that

parents will not always have full control over their children at crossing. 5, Drivers leaving No 213 will have no control over the Traffic lights sequences at the junction of A270 and Mile Oak Road. Traffic takes around 6 seconds from junction of Mile Oak Road to No. 213 and far less time when speeds of well over 40mph. This give no time for a driver to reverse safely into a busy road adjoining a Pelican Crossing. 6, Submitted plans state that the crossing is a PUFFIN crossing that has overall crossing time established each time by on-crossing pedestrian detectors. I can confirm that it is a not a PUFFIN crossing but a PELICAN crossing with set crossing times. 7, I have been an owner occupier near this pelican crossing for many decades. I have observed many accidents and near misses at this pelican crossing. I feel strongly that vehicular access at such a very close proximity to a crossing will contribute to an even more dangerous situation. 8, 40mph Speeds. Pelican Crossings and Vehicle Access. Do not mix. PLEASE "SAVE OUR CHILDREN"