Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

AWDM/1092/18 - 80 OLD SHOREHAM ROAD - ATTN: PETER BARNETT

1 message

David Morling <[redacted]> 13 September 2018 at 15:54
To: "planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: Neil Parkin <[redacted]>

Dear Mr. Barnett,

Further to my email yesterday I would like to make the following suggestion which may be beneficial to all parties.

As Mr. Craig is responsible for the maintenance of the fence as well as ensuring that the boundary with Orchard Close is not unsightly would a possible resolution be that the fence and its concrete pillars are removed (with the ground being "made good"). A wall is then constructed, at the same height as the garage, from the garage to the main premises. The finish on the wall would be rendered and painted all the way along the boundary. This would provide additional height from a security perspective for the main premises, etc. and the boundary with Orchard Close would not be unsightly and there would be no fence to be maintained. The wall on the opposite side of the premises has been constructed of brick yet is not on show to anyone.

I appreciate that this is a suggestion and therefore may not be appropriate to this platform but it would be helpful if it could be posted as a comment to this Planning Application.

Yours sincerely,

David H Morling

David H Morling

9 Orchard Close,

Shoreham by Sea,

BN43 5UT

From: David Morling
Sent: 12 September 2018 13:44
To: 'planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk' <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: 'Neil Parkin' [redacted]
Subject: AWDM/1092/18 - 80 OLD SHOREHAM ROAD - ATTN: PETER BARNETT

Dear Mr. Barnett,

I note that new plans were posted yesterday (11th September) regarding this Planning Application. It is still not clear from this set of plans as to the finish to the external garage/storage wall that faces onto Orchard Close. As you are

well aware residents of Orchard Close have already commented on this matter and what is happening to the dilapidated fence. We require absolute clarification and confirmation that the aforementioned wall will be rendered and painted in accordance with the applications previously made and that the fence will be repaired in accordance with the landowner's obligations. Until we receive this information I trust you will not be approving these plans bearing in mind it is a retrospective application as a result of clearly flouting the original application that was made. I would also point out that steel security panels/fencing have been attached to the fence that I refer to above; would you please confirm that these will be removed as they are not part of the application and like the rest of the property that faces on to Orchard Close is unsightly.

I must say that I completely fail to understand how the Planning Application process works; my understanding was that following national instances some years ago of "impropriety" within the Planning process that it was the responsibility of Planning Departments/Committees to ensure that all Planning Applications and their related conditions and caveats were rigorously applied and that all those affected were treated with equal measure and impartiality. I mentioned in my previous email that it appears that Mr. Craig does not feel that the rear of his property does not add value to his business as it is not on public view to customers (may I say that I think that the frontage of the building is much improved and worthy of praise) and that which is on view to the residents of Orchard Close is "out of sight out of mind". Similarly when the flats are completed and either rented or sold the income received will not be affected by the state of the said fence/wall. I suspect that the cost to fulfil the conditions with regards to finish/maintenance of the boundary fence/garage wall is a fraction to what is being spent on the changes & improvements of the property itself.

Yours sincerely,

David H Morling

David H Morling

9 Orchard Close,

Shoreham by Sea,

BN43 5UT