19 Whiterock Place
Southwick
West Sussex
BN42 4AG

Adur Planning Department
Planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

3rd June 2015

Re: AWDM/0567/15. 17 Whiterock Place, Southwick

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for your letter received on 28th May 2015 regarding the ongoing Planning to my neighbour's house.

I am sure it will come as no surprise to you that I am writing to object to the Application listed. My objection is simple. The balcony is a clear breach of our privacy as it over shadows our yard looking directly into our kitchen and dining room, and is on level looking straight into our daughter's bedroom.

We have always objected to this balcony right from its initial construction (without Approval) and as a result of a visit from your office, Mr Carne was advised that it was not to be used, the table and chairs were to be removed and a gate placed across the access doorway. This request was initially met but eventually lapsed without consequence as it was not enforced by the relevant department. We have since discovered that there is also an unresolved retrospective application lying dormant or the council's website.

This recent turn of events are as a result of Mr Carne making an application for further work. We understand that his recent application was rejected, although we never received a response to our objection we did learn from him that it was as a result of our letter.

We can only assume that this subsequent application is in some way connected on the aged application still outstanding and as per Claire Pink's email of 15 April 2015:
*"I have been able to establish that in 2003 planning permission SW/52/03/TP was granted for, "Formation of flat roof at rear (replacing former pitched roof) (retrospective)".* ***This planning permission was subject to a condition stating that no part of the extension was to be used as a balcony.***

At no point were we informed that it was our responsibility to uphold, after all it was your job to enforce this, you made the terms therefore they should have been subject to any further action required by yourselves or your dedicated enforcement team to ensure it was adhered to and if not possible then a permanent solution to block access onto the flat roof should have been sought.

We would ask that you respond in due course to all the points mentioned as our previous correspondence has remained unanswered.

Yours Faithfully

Mr & Mrs DMA Robertson

[redacted]