Comments on application re 6 Collingwood Court

1 message

Francesca Turner [redacted] 3 October 2017 at 14:07
To: "planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk" <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>
Cc: "joss.loader@adur.gov.uk" <joss.loader@adur.gov.uk>, '[redacted]

Dear sirs

I am writing this on behalf of my elderly aunt, Miss Valerie Stevenson, of 7 Collingwood Court. This response is delayed following our holidays.

Miss Stevenson recently had to object to a proposed extension to her adjoining neighbours at number 8 as they had applied for permission to extend at the front of the house to build an ensuite bathroom. Following the objections raised by her and by Councillor Joss Loader on behalf of Shoreham Beach Residents Association, the part of the application referring to the bathroom extension was withdrawn. I quote from Councillor Loader's comments

> ' *The design is out of keeping with the street scene and may lead to a terracing effect for the two semi-detached properties in question.'*

I have concerns that with this application there is a "kite flying" operation going on. The proposed plan for the ensuite is identical to that which her adjacent neighbours applied for and is drawn up by the same person at the same architects. If this new application were to go through then her adjoining neighbours would re-apply successfully. When the residents at number 6 applied for a side extension she raised no concerns but I am worried that she will feel intimidated by this situation and a[redacted] of age this is not a happy consequence.

I attach a photo which I sent to the planning office before which was taken to show the style of the row of houses and interestingly this photo also shows the shared drainpipe between the two properties. This of course is for those at number 5 to deal with.

I look forward to your confirmation of the receipt of this communication.

Francesca Turner



5-8 collingwood court.jpg
258K