APP/M3835/W/24/3337865
Deadline Weds. 15th May
(5 weeks after 10th April)

161 Goring Way,
Goring-by-Sea,
Worthing,
West Sussex,
BN12 5BT.
13/05/24.

Dear Sean,

REPRESENTATION AGAINST APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TWO ADDITIONAL STOREYS ABOVE BOTH CHATSMORE HOUSE BLOCKS OF FLATS (GORING STREET)

First, I should like to apologise for my letter arriving so very late in the day (I have been living at my parents' address for the past few weeks during a prolonged illness).

Secondly, I was very relieved to pick up this letter just in the nick of time, so that I could respond to it.

I have lived at the above address for 17 years (since January 2007) and know the area very well by now.

Chatsmore House is right next to the St. Romero Catholic School. Just before the school day and just afterwards quantities of pupils have to cross the road in order to get to the school gate. IN PARTICULAR, they often have to cross GORING WAY, a relatively FAST and FREE FLOWING straight road which goes right past CHATSMORE HOUSE and crosses GORING STREET, the road that includes the SCHOOL GATE. As these pupils are of High School age they invariably do not have parents to help them cross the road.

As it is, there is a great deal of parking (and traffic) around the school starting and finishing times. Indeed, there is already so much PARKING that PUPILS ARE OFTEN TEMPTED/FORCED INTO CROSSING GORING WAY FROM BETWEEN 2 OF THESE PARKED CARS (INSTEAD OF WALKING FURTHER TO AVOID THIS). IT IS WELL KNOWN THAT THIS IS VERY DANGEROUS (AS VISIBILITY IS IMPAIRED).

IF FURTHER DWELLINGS WERE CREATED ON TOP OF THE FLATS, THIS WOULD UNDOUBTEDLY CREATE MORE PARKING (AND TRAFFIC) DURING SCHOOL RUSH HOUR TIMES, THEREBY INCREASING THE NUMBER OF PUPIL CROSSINGS BETWEEN 2 PARKED CARS AMIDST MORE TRAFFIC, INEVITABLY INCREASING THE RISK OF AN ACCIDENT (FATAL OR OTHERWISE).

I THEREFORE CONSIDER THAT TO PERMIT THE CONSTRUCTION OF 2 ADDITIONAL STOREYS ON TOP OF THE 2 FLATS WOULD CONSTITUTE AN UNACCEPTABLE NEGLECT OF THE PUPILS' WELFARE, AND AM THEREFORE VERY AGAINST IT.

Yours sincerely,

Robert M. Daw.