Adur District Council

Planning, Regeneration and Wellbeing

John Payne
Mitchell Design & Construction Ltd
Romy House
159-167 Kings Road
BRENTWOOD
CM14 4EG

Your Ref:
Our Ref: ADC/0673/07 Date: 4 October 2010

Dear Mr Payne,

Proposed steel processing, storage and administration building at Fishersgate Terminal, Basin Road South, Shoreham Harbour (Southwick): Details pursuant to conditions 06, 07, 08, 09, 16, 20 and 21 of permission ADC/0673/07

Thank you for your letter of 21 September 2010, following the letter of 25 August 2010 from Lisa Bourn relating to condition 20. I acknowledge receipt of your cheque for £85.

Condition 06 (temporary parking, etc for contractors)

I confirm approval of the details shown on plan MDC:178:001.

Condition 07 (temporary wheel-cleaning facility)

I confirm approval of the facility shown on plan MDC:178:001.

Condition 08 (landscaping)

I do not consider that the proposals for planting just sea grass (shown on plan MDC178:01) are sufficient for the scale of this development. The area available for planting is quite narrow but I consider that planting of shrubs suitable for an exposed sea-edge location that would grow to a height sufficient to shield parked cars and mitigate the impact of the steel storage area are needed.

Condition 09 (materials and finishes)

Apart from the proposed roofing material (labelled as 'untreated stucco embossed aluminium') which is too shiny and reflective, the other samples and details as described in your letter (and shown on plan MDC178:06) appear acceptable. Please can you

reconsider the roofing material and put forward a revised finish; the plan approved at the time of the planning application showed a grey colour.

Condition 16 (drainage)

I have consulted the Environment Agency and am awaiting their advice.

Condition 20 (piling)

Having consulted the Environment Agency, I can confirm approval of the proposals submitted on CD-rom comprising the 'Piling Commentary' by Alan Moxhey (letter report dated 29/07/10) and the accompanying Bureau Veritas 'Interpretative Report on Ground Conditions at the Port of Shoreham' dated October 2007. The EA state they would prefer the Continuous Flight Auger method to be used where contamination is likely to be present.

Condition 21 (construction method statement)

I have consulted the Environment Agency and am awaiting their advice.

I will let you have a further letter as soon as I receive the EA's reply under conditions 16 & 21. However, in the meantime, I should be grateful if you would reconsider the landscaping scheme and roofing material as referred to under conditions 08 & 09 above.

Yours sincerely,

Keith Morgan
Planning Services Manager

Tel: 01273 263239 (direct line)
e-mail: keith.morgan@adur.gov.uk