From: planning.southdowns@environment-agency.gov.uk
Sent: 04 October 2010 15:14
To: Keith Morgan
Subject: Environment Agency Response to: ADC/0673/07
Attachments: PlanningProposal.rtf

The proposal has been reviewed and I enclose the Environment Agency's comments on:
Fishersgate Terminal
Basin Road South
Southwick
West Sussex

LPA ref: ADC/0673/07

Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else.

We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it.
We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.

If we have sent you information and you wish to use it please read our terms and conditions which you can get by calling us on 08708 506 506. Find out more about the Environment Agency at www.environment-agency.gov.uk

Mr Keith Morgan
Adur District Council
Civic Centre Ham Road
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex
BN43 6PR

Our ref: HA/2010/110487/02-L01
Your ref: ADC/0673/07

Date: 04 October 2010

Dear Mr Morgan

PROPOSED STEEL PROCESSING, STORAGE AND ADMINISTRATION BUILDING – PLANNING CONDITION 20.

FISHERSGATE TERMINAL, BASIN ROAD SOUTH, SOUTHWICK, WEST SUSSEX

Thank you for providing the 'Piling Commentary' as described by Alan Moxhey (letter report dated 29/07/10) and the accompanying 'Interpretative Report on Ground Conditions at the Port of Shoreham' as completed by Bureas Veritas, dated October 2007.

We are able to confirm that the proposed piling methodology is acceptable, being the use of driven piles which are end bearing within the gravels. It is considered that the risk to controlled waters would not be significantly increased through the foundation construction using the method proposed. However, the Continuous Flight Auger method remains our preference for this site where contamination is present or likely to be present. The provided report is geotechnical and does not fully consider, investigate or assess the risk to controlled waters from contamination.

Please note, the use of Waste Acceptance Criteria is not the same as a contamination risk assessment.

However I can confirm that we can recommend the discharge of planning condition 20.

I can also confirm that I have today received details relating to planning conditions 16 and 21 and I will respond to these in due course.

I trust that the above comments are satisfactory but if you require any further information please contact me.

Yours sincerely

HELEN TIER
Planning Liaison Officer (South Downs Area)

Direct dial 01903 703862
Direct e-mail planning.southdowns@environment-agency.gov.uk

End