→ Keith Morgan.

Mrs J B Heasman
371 Upper Shoreham Road
SHOREHAM BY SEA
BN43 5NB

08 JUN 2010

30 May 2010

FAO Mr Keith Morgan
cc Mr James Appleton ✓ noted – file
Adur District Council
Civic Centre, Ham Road
SHOREHAM BY SEA
BN43 6PR

WORTHING BOROUGH COUNCIL
PLANNING SECTION
RECEIVED - 3 JUN 2010
TO JEA
REF NO. 1133
INSTRUCTION

Dear Sirs

Ref ADC/0183/10
373 Upper Shoreham Road, Shoreham By Sea, West Sussex.

I would first of all like to refute the statement made by Mr Yagoub in his application, I have at no time "provisionally agreed to the plan" as he has stated.

I am sure I do not need to reiterate my objections to the proposed raising of the rear garden but I attach my earlier letter for your re-consideration.

As regards a fence/trellis/hedge, these would all be unacceptable. A hedge of escallonia would do nothing to cut out the noise or conceal anyone standing on the structure.

I would be interested to know exactly how a hedge would be planted in the concrete? Escallonia roots need a substantial depth of earth to become a healthy plant and every gardening book quotes they have to be planted in well drained soil to stand any chance of success. Most varieties also quote many years to reach any height and width.

I am dismayed to find I am still having to contest this application. With this structure in place at present anyone can see my comings and goings and I am fearful for my security along with my privacy. A hedge is not going to alleviate these fears. Please take my worries into your consideration when looking at this again.

Yours faithfully

[redacted]

Mrs J B Heasman

COPY

Mrs J B Heasman
371 Upper Shoreham Road
SHOREHAM BY SEA
BN43 5NB

15th May 2010

Mr Keith Morgan
Planning Services Manager
Adur District Council
Civic Centre
SHOREHAM BY SEA
BN43 6PR

Your Ref ADC/0035/10

Dear Mr Morgan

Further to your telephone call of Friday 14th May regarding the decision of the applicant to enter another planning request regarding the erection of trellis or a hedge, I would refer you to my misgivings quoted in my comments regarding the original proposal. I am surprised this is being considered when according to your letter of 09 April the original planning had been refused. I was under the impression that this would mean the structure in question would be removed.

I was most surprised after receiving your letter to look out of my window a couple of days later to see that the builders were again working on the structure. I could not believe that the applicant would continue with this when your refusal notice had been served, especially as the original application was retrospective to start with.

I would also like to report that the applicant approached me today regarding his further submission. He informed me that there was a choice between a hedge or trellis and, as he intended to start on this on Monday, I was to give him a reply by then. It may not have been meant in this way but he came across as rather bullying and I felt most intimidated by this.

My feelings on the subject have not changed at all and I can see no reason why I should have to live with this monstrosity next door which will cause me nothing but misery. I will still have to suffer the noise and light pollution that will inevitably follow from this raised structure in their garden.

I cannot see how a hedge or trellis is going to minimise the awful impact this will have on me. Also, I would like to point out that the fence that is already in place is my property and I have no intention of having anything attached to it at all.

Again, quoting from my original objections, you will be aware that the fence at the site is already over 5 feet high. If this was made higher in any way I would lose a great deal of light as the shadow would cover my garden. The effect of raising the fence would make me feel I was living in a prison.

COPY

I understand you have to look at this problem from all angles but I feel that the time and resources your department have already invested in this project, where your conclusion was that the original planning application be refused, was really the end of the matter. I do not understand why there is now a discussion about hedges and trellis when the original cause of the problem is still standing.

I look forward to receiving your comments.

Yours sincerely

Mrs J B Heasman