

Interested party 1

Comments

In her letter of appeal dated 31st May 2026, Ms. Dilley once again plays down the impact of the proposed extension on our bungalow. She says the rear of her property is only to be extended by one metre, but rather conveniently fails to mention that the pitch of the existing roof will be raised to meet the uppermost part of the building and the ridge of the roof increased in length by approximately seven metres. This will completely block out the direct sunlight into our dining room. Ms. Dilley also harps on about a similar planning application in 2011 (AWDM/0360/11 refers), but once again fails to mention what I consider to be her monster of an extension, built three years ago (AWDM/1270/22 refers), which obstructs over half of our (east facing) dining room window. The gap between this extension and our bungalow is a measly 80 centimetres. At this time of year we used to enjoy a nice bright dining room particularly in the early morning, but at 7.30 am I am having to compose this letter with the light switched on ! Thank you for giving me the opportunity to comment.