Debbie Johnson

From: Planning
Sent: 12 May 2013 17:55
To: Planning
Subject: Application Comments for AWDM/0513/13

Planning Application comments has been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 5:55 PM on 12 May 2013 from mrs maureen ashley.

Application Summary

Address: 3 Beach Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5LJ

Proposal: Single storey front and side extension (garage and car port demolished)

Case Officer: Matthew Porter

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: mrs maureen ashley

Email: [redacted]

Address: 2 beach road shoreham beach

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:
- Design
- Loss of General Amenity
- Privacy Light and Noise

Comments: These are a few points to be read in addition to, and integrated into, the comments submitted last week: *We consider the proposed development to be overbearing and oppressive, especially regarding the effect it would have within our kitchen, and as such, consider it un-neighbourly. *I had understood that there was a guideline in operation within Adur that discouraged development within 1m of a boundary? I thought this was particularly so in regard to the building of living accommodation (a kitchen) up to the boundary. Presently it is only a garage adjacent to the boundary. *At present we get direct sunlight into a narrow shaft at the top of the study window up until about noon. It's not brilliant but it is sufficient to allow plants to flourish on the windowsill. It is a deficiency that we were aware of when we bought the house. However,even this narrow shaft of light would go under this plan because of the increased height (0.8m) of the proposed wall. * Could we suggest an amendment that might include leaving a horizontal gap between a new-build garage wall and the boundary along the length of the present wall. A calculation could be done to indicate what

width might maintain luminocity. Something between 1 and 2 m would seem to be a good guess. This would also allow us or any future owner to be able to access the upper level of our house for eg window cleaning (window cleaning is not possible at the moment). *However can we please re-iterate that our kitchen window gives essential light into a living area and any bricking-up or fencing up of this window is oppressive, un-neighbourly and unacceptable to us. At present, the kitchen window, though not the kitchen door, is only marginally restricted. This is how these two houses were built and was the case when both ourselves and the residents of no. 3 bought the properties. The proposed ver large size of the kitchen in the plans, coming right the way across from the present kitchen to within a metre of our kitchen seems capable of adaptation.