Gmail by Google

Planning - <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk>

Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1092/18

1 message

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk <planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk> 24 September 2018 at 17:11
To: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 5:11 PM on 24 Sep 2018 from Mr Amos Makuvise.

Application Summary

Address: 80 Old Shoreham Road Shoreham-By-Sea West Sussex BN43 5TD

Proposal: AMENDED PLANS AND DESCRIPTION: Application to vary condition 1 of previously approved AWDM/1508/17. Amendment: Increase in depth and width of garage/store, incorporating cycle store, use of painted blockwork finish rather than render, increase in height of boundary wall and new gate to North West boundary.

Case Officer: Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name: Mr Amos Makuvise

Email: [redacted]

Address: 10 Orchard Close, Shoreham-By-Sea, West Sussex BN43 5UT

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment: - Design

Comments: I am writing to lodge our appeal against the amended plans via the reference given above. We would like to voice our concerns at the retrospective application to increase the depth of the storage unit at the rear of 80 Old Shoreham Road.

Rendering the wall would have kept the wall in keeping (to some extent) with the houses on the block and keeping it at the original height would have acceptably hid the unattractive wall behind the boundary fence. The currently standing result and proposed application are for un-rendered breeze block wall standing way taller than originally planned for and in an unpainted finish, the design and appearance of which is not at all in keeping with surrounding buildings.

As a direct result of the breach in the height of the wall, several cars belonging Orchard close residents (including mine) parked adjacent to the garage/store wall were damaged whilst the wall/roof was being

built, damage for which was subsequently claimed back from the proprietor of 80 Old Shoreham Road.

As well as the current variations, it is my understanding that the boundary fence was originally intended to be replaced by the proprietor of 80 Old Shoreham Road, which we now understand to not be happening.
Although this might not be the case, it certainly appears possible to get a 'glossed up' and misleading application' approved by the Council only to subsequently lodge a retrospective application with amendments, solely with the intention of circumventing what would otherwise been stricter and stronger opposition to original plans.

My understanding is that the Council not only have a duty to protect existing residents but also anyone who might move to a property in the future but I would like to ensure that our voices of opposition are being given sufficient consideration.