Comments for Planning Application AWDM/1209/23 Reps and Consults ×

planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
to me
Sun 13 Sept, 16:36 (22 hours ago)

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 13/09/2026 4:36 PM from Mr Auryn Jones.

Application Summary

Address:	Gochers Laundry Alma Street Lancing West Sussex BN15 8AX
Proposal:	Demolition of light industrial building and redevelopment to provide 8no. dwellings (1no. detached, 4no. semi-detached, 3no. terraced) (FURTHER AMENDED PLANS RECEIVED)
Case Officer:	Peter Barnett

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Auryn Jones
Email:	
Address:	Box Cottage East Street Lancing West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public

Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Other - Overdevelopment - Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	No significant changes have been made to the plans for Alma street, and as a result we still Object to development of the site on the following grounds 1. Design. the houses back onto ours and directly face the rear of our property meaning that we will be overlooked, with the larger windows facing south and the smaller windows shown facing north 2. Highway Access and Parking. Although I see a survey was carried out to address this, the timing of the survey didn't reflect peak times in the road. the survey also suggested that extra visitors could use parking on a near by industrial estate for free (550 metres away), which is frankly ludicrous, people will not park there to visit these properties, they will seek somewhere more convenient to them which will more than likely inconvenience the local residents. This is even before mentioning that most households now have more than one car, and these properties only have one space for a car. 3. Loss of general amenity. Because of the proposed development we will be subjected to noise, disruption and stress, it will vastly affect our peaceful enjoyment of ours and our neighbours homes, followed by the loss of our privacy and nowhere to park. 4. Overdevelopment. We feel that the site isn't large enough for the proposed amount of properties 5. Privacy, Light and Noise. With this amount of houses proposed, noise will inevitably increase, we currently don't have any source of sound coming from the site so it will be greatly increased both through the building and once people move in. Privacy will also become a concern for us as we will be overlooked, again, the larger of the windows appear to be on the south elevation of the property. We have had no need to install privacy glass thus far but will now need to if these plans go ahead. Our neighbours have also expressed concern about their children being overlooked in their gardens, Something they haven't had to think about before. Most residents considered this as a deciding factor when they were purchasing properties in East Street.

6. Other. We have concerns about the presence of asbestos in this site, and how it will be safely removed as the site is so close to properties, including those of the vulnerable and elderly. We are also not happy with how this site and the buildings have been left unsecured for so long, It is a dangerous site and is frequently broken into by minors that are unaware of the presence of asbestos. We were recently made aware that the police had been in contact with the owner of the site, but both the site and buildings still remain inadequately secured, in fact, the fence that was in place appears to have been pushed over leaving the site completely open. Additional concerns we have are in regards to the traditional Flint walls in East street being damaged, they are over 200 years old and the owner of the site has previously made comments about erecting fences if they are damaged during demolition or construction, which is completely disrespectful.

Thank you for reading