

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/05/2026 4:59 PM from Mr Tim Tolcher.

Application Summary

Address:	Mill Warbler Mill Lane Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex BN43 5AG
Proposal:	Application to remove condition 7 (no additional windows) of application SU/40/83/TP/FP.
Case Officer:	Marie O'Keeffe

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Tim Tolcher
Email:	
Address:	Little Ravens Mill Lane Shoreham-by-sea West Sussex

Comments Details

Committer Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Design - Highway Access and Parking - Loss of General Amenity - Privacy Light and Noise - Trees and Landscaping
Comments:	Dear Officers We object to the S73 application to remove a the condition for additional windows to the above application. The condition , whilst some 20 years old, is there for a very good reason -to protect the amenity of neighbouring properties for this backland development. The applicant accepts this is a backland development which on balance would probabaly not be approved under current day planning policy. Our amenity and privacy would be materially impacted if additonal windows were consented to the application property. We bought our property on the basis that

this restrictive condition would remain in place and i do not see any reason why it should be relaxed. For this reason alone the application does not meet the 6 tests referred to in the application.

The applicants statement is wrong and misleading in many places.

For example :

There is not a 'generous' seperation distance between our property and the applcation site. It is less than 18m so this is incorrect.

The bungalow does not benefit from 'substanital' garden buffers and is in places sited very close to the boundary of neighbouring properties.

The site is served by a private road which is not in the control of the application property. In deed the applicant has already violated the conditions of its use with regular HGV's servicing the current build. Whilst this is not a planning matter, what is a planning matter is the material damage to several TPO's within our property cause by the applicant's illegal use of the this road. We will pursue this seperately .

This is a backland development as acknowldeged by the applicant yet they are seeking the same privelidge as a traditoanl street side development . This is not possible and the applicant should respect their location and the amenity and privacy of neighbouring properties . Please refuse this S73 application accordingly.
