ASUR & DISTRICT CONSERVATION ADVISORY GROUP

ADDENDUM TO MINUTES – P/E 15th August, 202.

AWDM/1123/20- 18 Church Lane, Southwick

AWDM/ 1150/20 – 59, Southwick Street, Southwick

Members support the recommendation to **APPROVE** the aforementioned applications.

AWDM/1141/20 – 5, Ship Street, Shoreham-by-Sea

Despite this resubmission of (**AWDM/0394/20),** after careful examination of the small adjustments therein, members feels that their earlier objections still remain & feel this application should be **REFUSED** & would request the following points be raised, vis:-

Despite the terrace area has been stepped back from the building line in Ship Street, the development will still cause serious overlooking of the property immediately opposite. The question of overlooking also applies to te rear of properties 7-13 & 6-12 West Street.

Whilst the front elevation may be welcome, there is no colour palette offered reflecting what the tone/colour of Siberian Mountain Larch is in relationship to the existing grey finish of the two brick piers each end of the property. It is also unclear what colour will be used on the rear of the property.

AWDM/0323/20 – Land south of West Street & west of Loose Lane, Sompting.

Housing Design & Landscape – Members feel there is an overall significant improvement on the first scheme which is sympathetic to the area & complementary to the Conservation area to the west.

The proposed increased tree planting & wrap around knee high hedging lends individuality to each individual property. However it is noted that there is no mention of the close board fencing with hedgerow planting on the landscaping plan for the Street Barn development in the N.E. corner, is this an oversight?

Transport – members are still concerned re increased levels of traffic through the conservation area (see ADCAG's earlier submission). Suggestions such as banning traffic apart from buss & cyclists thereby preserving the integrity of the heritage village could be considered thereby preserving also the residents' quality of life.

AWDM/0323/20 – Land South of West St. & West of Loose Lane Sompting
Continued

Transport cont'd. We would enquire whether the impact of increased traffic at Busticle Lane/Halewick Junction with the A.27 has been under-called. In addition members asked whether the rat running impacts on Dankton Lane & Church Lane have been examined in regard to vehicles travelling Eastwards on the A.27.

It is felt there is now an opportunity to create an improved access to the SDNPA for NMUs (non mechanised users) with overhead crossing into Church Lane on North side of A27 & better connect the two conservation areas of N & S Sompting. Could this not be a condition (Adur Plan policy No. 13 refers)

Drainage - Members agree with the Engineer's proposal that there be a lifetime management of drainage system appropriately funded arrangement. Also that the following phase to the south should be tested during winter to ascertain ground water levels. This is a >75% risk area for groundwater flooding.

Surface water flows can be managed by permeability with additional support from Suds attenuation to the west of the built up area. Suds can connect to ditch work.

Climate Change – members feel there appears to be a lack of ambition for achieving reduction of energy use/carbon footprint. In light of climate emergenc6y – 10% is not appropriate for a development which will be in place for the next 100 years or so. There could be more use made of voltaic panels(no use of gas heating) highest BREEM standards for construction.

Taking in consideration of the foregoing comments ADCAG members recommend Application be **APPROVED.**