Appeal 6006139

Interested party comments

Appeal type	Planning
Appeal procedure	Written
Appeal site	Jetty Opposite 31 And 33 Riverside Road, Shoreham-by-Sea, BN43 5RB
Agent contact details	Richard Simpson richard@rjsplanning.co.uk 02083543582
Local planning authority	Adur District Council
Application number	AWDM/1195/24

Interested party 1

Comments	Most houseboats and the surrounding areas, which are the responsibility of the boat owners, are not well maintained. They continue to extend into the riverbank and into the land, and it appears that the building regulations that regular homeowners are required to follow do not apply to them. Additionally, an increase in the number of houseboats will lead to more traffic and parking issues. Read more
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 2

Comments	The river is a precious eco system which I believe should be preserved as best as possible. There is already a lot of construction happening towards the harbour end which impacts the river higher up because it is tidal. Also the village is experiencing a high traffic volume which at certain times of day becomes totally stuck. Any further building in the river will detract from its current picturesque quality and impact the attraction to tourists. Read more
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 3

Comments	I consider the application for a Floating Village at 31-33 Riverside Rd to be totally unsuitable. Riverside Rd is a very busy road and parking is already an issue particularly during the summer . An access road at the oroposed site would stop the flow of traffic and cause problems for nearby residents . On line shopping delivery vans has increased

	the traffic and to grant the application would be ridiculous . Read more
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 4

Comments	I am strongly opposed to this floating village proposal. The traffic volume and busy road is already significantly increased since we moved here. More housing would've horrendous for the local residents in terms of access and parking, not to mention it'd detract from the local area image and look. No thank you. Read more
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 5

Comments	Totally inappropriate for the area. Have lived here for 60 years. On street parking already a problem and access road is inadequate for purpose.
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 6

Comments	I strongly object to this development of the jetty for the houseboats. The area is already congested with not enough parking for current residents. There will be increased traffic in an already busy road. It will spoil the view of the river for the residents who live in the houses there. Read more
Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 7

Comments	I do not support this appeal and agree with the council's refusal of the plan for more residential houseboats. I am concerned about more sewage and waste water being deposited in the river from these boats that my family and I enjoy swimming in and the other environmental issues that this proposed development would create. The extra rubbish that would be generated and the utilities that will have to be installed plus the digging up of the road that this would entail is hideous to contemplate! Our town has seen huge increases in properties and people being added to our small community and the extra traffic, pollution and

parking problems that this has caused. The infrastructure of schools, doctors, dentists in the area are already stretched to capacity.

We would personally be affected by any further houseboats at this particular jetty as already we have cars parking all along Riverside Road and frequently they park right up to our driveway and obstruct our view of oncoming traffic when we exit our drive. We would also be affected by the noise and we worry about the volume of larger vehicles involved in the building of the development and the ongoing increase in vehicles that will be servicing and supplying the extra houseboats.

We sincerely hope that this appeal fails and no further disruption and overdevelopment of Shoreham will happen. Read more

Supporting documents	No documents

Interested party 8

Comments	I support the decision to refuse and make the following comments 1) This proposal is a kind of backland development with respect to the houses in riverside road and should not be allowed on that basis. House holders bought houses in the reasonable expectation that land behind their houses would not be developed for private occupation. This is in direct contrast to the floating homes opposite, which were developed in conjunction with the flats. 2) The jetty off which this proposal is developed was originally built for handling and landing fish and to house other vessels. To allow this to become the centre for domestic dwellings will remove yet another potential leisure or small commercial mooring and working location. 3) The plans submitted are laughably sparse in detail, but adjacent householders will confirm that there have been problems with debris and sewage collecting in the back eddy area created by the jetty. Increasing occupation will only make this worse. 4) A number of people have raised the issue of parking and I support the complaint that this backland development will only increase the parking load- which already requires permanent pavement parking. The submitted plans are far from clear but I cannot see how the site can accommodate the likely number cars. Read more
Supporting documents	No documents