Dawn Ayres

From: Keith Morgan
Sent: 14 September 2009 10:11
To: Dawn Ayres
Subject: FW: 6 / 8 FAIRVIEW ROAD NORTH LANCING APP No. ADC / 0309 / 09
Attachments: img 001224.pdf

Dawn

Please can you smartsave this (with attachment) under ADC/0309/09

Keith Morgan - Planning Services Manager | Planning, Regeneration & Wellbeing,
Adur District Council
Location: Civic Centre, Ham Road, Shoreham-by-Sea BN43 6PR
Internal: 63239 | External: 01273 263239 | E-mail: keith.morgan@adur.gov.uk

Please think before you print this and save paper

From: Gordon Dixon [redacted]
Sent: 13 September 2009 17:15
To: Keith Morgan
Subject: 6 / 8 FAIRVIEW ROAD NORTH LANCING APP No. ADC / 0309 / 09

FOR URGENT ATTENTION OF Mr KEITH MORGAN , PLANNING DEPARTMENT .

PLEASE FIND ATTACHED LETTER RE THE ABOVE , AS REQUIRED TO BE SENT BY MY CLIENTS

GORDON DIXON

TEL - FAX 01903 - 503546

GORDON F DIXON
PLANNING CONSULTANT
19 DERWENT DRIVE
GORING-BY-SEA
WORTHING
WEST SUSSEX
B12 6LA

10 Sept 2009

FOR ATTENTION OF Mr KEITH MORGAN ; PLANNING DEPARTMENT .

RE : 6/8 FAIRVIEW ROAD , NORTH LANCING , REF ADC/0309/09.

Dear Sir,

Further your letter dated 03/09/09 in respect of the above application, and the attached papers, the content of which has been noted, and obviously reported to my clients .

My clients have instructed that I respond on their behalf as follows :——————
Firstly the application was acknowledged as received at your office on 06/08/09 ,with an eight week consideration period expiring on 02/10/09 ,it would appear to them that this matter has been dealt with , in some haste , with your reply indicating 'REFUSAL', dated 03/09/09, only some 28 days after receipt of application .

Regarding the invitation to attend / speak at the planning committee meeting on the 14 th September , my clients have asked me to inform you that they will not be taking up this invitation , as this appears rather a waste of time , when taking into consideration the content of the 'Officers Report' .

Regarding the 'trees', the "expert specialist arboriculturist" employed by the applicants , is most certainly of the opinion that the removal of a certain number of 'TPO' listed trees is needed on safety grounds , and further states that the removal of same ,will not have any adverse bearing on the stability of the remaining trees . It appears to have been ignored that new "planting areas", [to replace those to be removed]have been indicated on the submitted plans . Finally it would seem to be totally reasonable to expect that, due to the age of these trees, it would be more than sensible , that some form of 'lopping and topping" of the remaining trees would take place , during the construction programme , in the best interests of both the trees and the dwellings . This obviously would have followed in the form of a FULL APPLICATION , should the 'outline application' have been successful .

'Grounds for refusal 3', makes reference to the effect that 'there is no evidence that a "Section 106 agreement" would be agreed / made , this statement is totally incorrect . As one of the applicants , not wishing to have "a charge against his property", has made a verbal offer, to provide a cheque for the full sum required , to a planning officer , stating that said cheque would be lodged with his solicitor for safe keeping , until such time as this would be required to be handed over , he has as yet not received a response to this offer .

My clients have also instructed me to advise you that they will appeal to the Planning Inspectorate , as is their right and that they will be seeking the advise of solicitors , prior to taking any action , wishing to reserve any further comments / responses until a later date , at this point in time .

Yours faithfully

[redacted]

Gordon F Dixon

ADUR DISTRICT COUNCIL
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
BUILDING CONTROL DEPARTMENT
Adur Civic Centre
Ham Road
Shoreham-by-Sea
West Sussex
BN43 6PR

COPY TO : Mr N MACPHERSON ; Mr P STEELE ; Mr P THURMAN