Tracey Consterdine

From: Tim & Raija Walker [redacted]
Sent: 20 May 2012 11:32
To: Planning
Subject: Re: Application Comments for AWDM/1042/11

Hi. Could you please update me on where this matter has gone?

thank you

Tim Walker

From: planning@adur-worthing.gov.uk
Sent: Wednesday, December 28, 2011 1:31 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Application Comments for AWDM/1042/11

Mr Timothy Walker,

You have been sent this email because you or somebody else has submitted a comment on a Planning Application to your local authority planning department using your email address. A summary of your comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 1:31 PM on 28 Dec 2011 from Mr Timothy Walker.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At 60 To 66 Busticle Lane Sompting West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline application for 7 dwellinghouses (6 two-storey plus rooms in roofspaces and 1 single-storey plus rooms within roofspace) with 9 parking spaces (all details reserved, plans illustrative)
Case Officer:	Keith Morgan

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	Mr Timothy Walker
Email:	[redacted]
Address:	47 Berriedale Drive Sompting

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	- Privacy Light and Noise
Comments:	Previous planning applications that have been granted on this site were for modestly sized blocks of flats that were in accordance with the area and at a respectable distance from adjoining properties. I have never objected to these

for the above reasons. This application would necessitate the proposed development abutting my property in a manner that would alter the aspect significantly and entail overlooking of the property, meaning certain loss of privacy and potential issues regarding noise. It seems to me to amount to an overdevelopment of a modestly sized site that is perfect for a small block of flats or a small number of houses, but can only accommodate this many houses by unreasonably altering the situation of those around it. I therefore formally object to this proposal, and would like the council to make it clear that any future proposal that has these undesirable effects would in all likelihood be declined.