22, East Meadway,
Shoreham-By-Sea,
West Sussex.
BN43 5RF.

15th March, 2016.

Development Manager Section,
Adur & Worthing Councils,
44, Richmond Road,
Worthing,
West Sussex.
BN11 1HS

Attn: Ms Sarah Crease – Case Officer.

Dear Ms Crease,

Re: Planning Application AWDM/0056/16 - 24 East Meadway Shoreham-by-Sea / Objections.

We would be grateful if you would consider the following observations and objections before coming to a decision regarding the above Planning Application.

1. **Excessive Extension Size:**
   - The proposed 4 m deep extension would represent a 67% increase in ground floor area over and above the existing ground floor area of the property.
   - The proposed 4 m deep extension would represents a 40% reduction of the already very small rear garden of the property.
   - The proposed 4 m deep extension would be totally inappropriate and out of keeping with the other three properties of this four linked bungalow development.
   - The proposed 4 m deep extension would protrude by 1.2 m beyond the rear building line of this four linked property development which was set by the 2.8 m deep extension built recently on to number 28, East Meadway.
   - The proposed extension will encroach onto our property which we completely object to. We will be putting a raised flower bed along the existing border fence in a few weeks from the back of our house to our shed at the bottom of the garden. Therefore, no extension onto our property will be possible and we will not be giving any permission for any works to be carried out on our property to facilitate any works at number 24 East Meadway that is against our own interest.
   - The proposed 4m deep extension will completely overlook our garden and provide us with almost no privacy and reduce the amount of natural light coming into our garden. We are not prepared to accept this lack of privacy and reduction of natural light under any circumstances.
   - Mrs Jennifer Saunders of 22 East Meadway [redacted] and as such we do not want any scaffolding or debris of any kind in our garden which might hinder her movements and potentially cause her further injury.

2. **Foul Drainage:**
   - The proposed extension plans shows the direction of foul drainage running from the west from number 26 East Meadway. This is incorrect. The foul drainage connections of the properties in this four linked property development are that numbers 22 and 24 East Meadway are linked running westward and that numbers 26 and 28 East Meadway are linked running eastward, ie. there is no foul drainage link between numbers 24 and 26 East Meadway.
   - Due to the very shallow depth of the existing foul drainage pipe, it would not be possible to achieve a sufficient slope of the foul pipe from the newly proposed inspection chamber to the existing foul drainage pipe connection point at the boundary of 22 East Meadway. We are aware that 24 East Meadway has suffered sewage blockages, several times due to the shallow slope of the pipework.

We trust you will understand our reasons and objections listed above to the proposed Planning Application AWDM/0056/16 and ask that you take them into consideration with your review of the proposed plans. Should you require any further details or clarification of the above points, please do not hesitate to contact us on [redacted] or [redacted] or by email at [redacted]

Yours faithfully

Kendal Charles Saunders

Jennifer Elaine Saunders