Adur District Council

Planning, Regeneration and Wellbeing

John Payne
Mitchell Design & Construction Ltd
Romy House
159-167 Kings Road
BRENTWOOD
CM14 4EG

Your Ref:
Our Ref: ADC/0673/07 Date: 8 February 2011

Dear Mr Payne,

Proposed steel processing, storage and administration building at Fishersgate Terminal, Basin Road South, Shoreham Harbour (Southwick): Details pursuant to conditions 06, 07, 08, 09, 16, 20 and 21 of permission ADC/0673/07

I refer to your e-mail of 8 February 2011 and now update my previous letter of 26 October 2010 as follows:-

Condition 06 (temporary parking, etc for contractors)

My letter of 4 October 2010 confirmed approval of the details shown on plan MDC:178:001.

Condition 07 (temporary wheel-cleaning facility)

My letter of 4 October 2010 confirmed approval of the facility shown on plan MDC:178:001.

Condition 08 (landscaping)

Although I was concerned that the proposals for planting just sea grass (shown on plan MDC178:01) were insufficient for the scale of this development, I have noted the problems caused by the underground services in this narrow banked strip. In the circumstances, therefore, I now approve plan MDC178:01.

Condition 09 (materials and finishes)

My letter of 4 October 2010 confirmed approval of the samples and details as described in your letter (and shown on plan MDC178:06) with the exception of the proposed roofing

material (labelled as 'untreated stucco embossed aluminium') which I was concerned might be too shiny and reflective. I noted from Julian Mitchell's letter of 6 October that this is a premium product selected for its durability in this very exposed location and was grateful for receipt of the sample that had weathered over a short period of exposure. As this weathering gives much the same effect as the type that has been pre-treated with a patina or zinc finish, I am now able to approve it.

Condition 16 (drainage)

My letter of 26 October confirmed approval, subject to drainage from the trailer washdown and weighbridge gullies passing through the existing interceptor as stated by the Environment Agency in their letter of 22 October 2010 (emailed to Julian Mitchell).

Condition 20 (piling)

Having consulted the Environment Agency, my letter of 4 October confirmed approval of the proposals submitted on CD-rom comprising the 'Piling Commentary' by Alan Moxhey (letter report dated 29/07/10) and the accompanying Bureau Veritas 'Interpretative Report on Ground Conditions at the Port of Shoreham' dated October 2007. The EA state they would prefer the Continuous Flight Auger method to be used where contamination is likely to be present.

Condition 21 (construction method statement)

My letter of 26 October confirmed approval subject to the requirements set out in the letter of 22 October 2010 from the Environment Agency, namely:-

- care being taken during site works to ensure that all fuels, lubrication oils and any other potentially contaminating materials are stored to prevent accidental or unauthorised discharge to ground (eg. in bunded areas secure from public access); and
- that any unsuspected contaminated material revealed during site works, not having been previously assessed as part of the intrusive investigation, should not be moved until consultation has taken place with the Environment Agency.

There are now no outstanding details requiring approval under the conditions.

Yours sincerely,

Keith Morgan
Planning Services Manager
Tel: 01273 263239 (direct line)
e-mail: keith.morgan@adur-worthing.gov.uk